

Jinish Pravin Kavita Gadhiya MS Advanced Architectural Design GSAPP 20

How to Read this Experience?

The document - an idea of the physical realm and speculation comprise of a series of experiments on research and design. During the process of finding answers, I found a place which was lying between this idea of neither physical nor virtual, like the idea of creating a narrative from a book, or looking at objects as peices of performers.

This document is a work and culimination of a journey which has opened up and nourished a thought which will keep me awake at nights - curious to know more, find out more and work that hard to find the answers to the questions which I leave this document with.

Hope the reader enjoys the three phases - what I call the physical, somewhere between physical and virtual & finally something which is a speculative conjecture.

the physical

- 1. The Human in the Anthropocene
- 2. Where does our trash go?
- 3. Citicorp Center
- 4. For the Djerba of Tomorrow
- 5. Scaling up and down
- 6. Reverse Engineering Methodology

somewhere between physical & virtual

- 1. The Grand Domestic Revolution
- 2. Technological Zones
- 3. Chunking the Chaos
- 4. Objects of Performance
- 5. Virtual as an extension to Physical
- 6. Project D

speculative conjectures

- 1. Post Anthropocentrism
- 2. Afterlife of Orbital Objects
- 3. The Object of Architecture & Architecture of the Object
- 4. Energeneration
- 5. Half Metal Jacket
- 6. Baroque Technopatriarchy



The Human in the Anthropocene

Analysis on Paper written by Dipesh Chakrabarty

Professor Andres Jaque

Transscalarities

Summer 2019

'Human beings are now carrying out a large-scale geophysical experiment of a kind that could not have happened in the past nor be reproduced in the future' -Roger Revelle, H. E. Suess

Anthropocene.

On the face of it, the word is a claim that a marker is forming in the geologic record, a change in the rock and fossil layers that reflects a change from the geological and biological conditions of the Holocene.

Yet the word is, of course, more than that.

It is a tool with which to focus attention on the current role of Homo sapiens in altering the Earth as a whole, and is a shorthand descriptor of that phenomenon.

Its origin embodies the problems of scale, from geologic epoch to human generation time, that face those grappling with changes associated with the Anthropocene.

It also encompasses a lot more than just climate change, acknowledging humans as a biogeophysical force and not only—as postulated by Dipesh Chakrabarty—a geophysical one.

From the beginning, environmental law has been premised on the idea that humans are a powerful force in reshaping our environment.

Laws would not be necessary, or even logical, absent the assumption that people can harm the environment such that others are then harmed; remedies would be equally irrelevant if humans did not also have the power to at least partially prevent or remediate harm.

To fully understand the Anthropocene, the biological and biogeochemical alterations that follow from human activities must be considered.

One component cannot be isolated from the other: they intertwine at all spatial and temporal scales.

In turn, the diversity, breadth, and depth of the human footprint on the globe associated with the Anthropocene complicate consideration of values and justice and demand close attention from environmental humanists.

The project looks at creating a film within 300 seconds showing the process of collection of trash in the city of New York and where does the trash eventually go. The idea of making the footage was to combine used documentation along with shot documentation and submit as the final submission for GAP 3 class.

I have taken screenshots of various moments which are key points in the video to explain the story board of the narrative.

Where does our trash go?

Video film mapping the movement of trash in New York City Professor Michael Rock & Professor Whitney Dow Graphic Architecture Project 3

Fall 2019





Where does OUR Trash go?





























000







Citicorp Center

The Citigroup Center // 601 Lexington Ave // New York City Contentious New York Project

Professor Andres Jaque // Professor Samuel Stewart-Halevy

Transscalarities

Summer 2019

Abstract:

The roof of Citigroup Center slopes at a 45-degree angle. Designers originally intended it to be terraces for apartments, and later revised it to contain flat-plate solar collectors, to produce hot water which would be used to dehumidify air and reduce cooling energy. However, they eventually dropped this idea because the positioning of the angled roof meant that the solar panels would not face the sun directly.

The cantilever exists because the northwest corner of the building site is occupied by St. Peter's Lutheran Church. When Citicorp Center was built in the 1970s, the church allowed Citicorp to demolish the old church building and build the skyscraper under one condition: a new church would have to be built on the same corner, not attached to the Citicorp building and no columns passing through it, because the church wanted to remain on the site of the new development, near one of the intersections. The church, at 619 Lexington Avenue with its entrance from 54th Street, has a theatre in its basement which is mainly used by the York Theatre.

To help stabilize the building, a tuned mass damper was placed in the mechanical space at its top. This substantial piece of stabilizing equipment weighs 400 tons (350 metric tons).

The damper is designed to counteract swaying motions due to the effect of wind on the building and reduces the building's movement due to wind by as much as 50%. Citigroup Center was the first skyscraper in the United States to feature a tuned mass damper.

In addition, in 2002, one of the columns was reinforced with blast-resistant shields of steel and copper as well as steel bracing to protect the building due to the possibility of a terrorist attack.

In consultation with the City Planning Commission, Stubbins designed an open air concourse, also known as a sunken plaza, that represented Citicorp's reputation for public service. In doing so, Citicorp was allowed additional floor area, or plaza bonus. The challenge arose to create a public space that was hospitable and inviting. According to the City Planning Commission "Not every plaza works. Some are bleak, forlorn places. Some are hard to get to. Some, sliced up by driveways, are more for cars than people. Some are forbidding and downright hostile."

By placing the plaza at the subway level, circulation improved and permitted light and air to enter the subway mezzanines. The sunken plaza's main level is approximately 12 feet below street level and can be accessed from a diagonal staircase. Intended to be functional, the plaza acts as a transitional space, providing access to the subway, church, building lobby, and atrium.

The concrete water feature designed by Sasaki Associates was intended to muffle the sounds of the city and allows the passerby to escape from the busy streets of New York.

Considered to be the skyscraper for the people, the Citicorp building protected customers both physically through the structure and financially through Citibank's banking practices of protecting financial assets.

Using a damper to reinforce the structural stability of the building reflected this sense of protection, and the effect of damping was applied not only to the building itself, but to the surrounding site design as well. The act of damping reflected Citicorp's values as a bank to protect and serve their customers.

The Citicorp Building was completed in 1976 and served as Citibank's New York headquarters. Citibank was initially known as the First National City Bank and was established by merchants in 1812 following the demise of the First Bank of the United States in Philadelphia.

During the 20th century it was the largest bank in America, their main office moving periodically around the Lower Manhattan area before relocating to Midtown Manhattan in the 1970s. U.S. Congress passed amendments to the Bank Holding Company Act in 1970, allowing banks to diversify and expand operations beyond traditional banking functions.

Citibank took advantage of these amendments, expanding their offices by commissioning a new office building for their company in Midtown Manhattan.

Despite the economic recession at the time, Citibank remained financially successful due to their aggressive business strategy and investment in domestic retail business with higher yielding products such as consumer mortgages and personal installment loans.

Citibank protected the financial assets of their clients by lending money and accessing the capital markets on behalf of the clients. Creating a financial damper, Citibank's values were reflected in the building's ultimate design. Architect Hugh A. Stubbins was hired as the principal designer, who created a structure that lifted the people from the ground and in effect generated his own damper by creating an isolating structure.

As a student at Harvard University, Stubbins knew Henry J. Muller, the vice president at Citibank, and was subsequently hired for the project. Straying away from the popular "International" style, Stubbins used elements associated with Corbusier's "Five Points Towards a New Architecture," using piloti to elevate the structure off the ground and horizontal windows to maximize illumination. By elevating the structure using massive columns, Stubbins created a damping effect by transcending the users from beyond the dense urban fabric of New York City in an enclosed and contemplative space.

In order to ensure the feasibility of the project, Stubbins hired engineer Le Messurier, who designed the tuned mass damper which would stabilize the structure and prevent wind shear. This damper literally protected the people, minimizing the environmental effects of the New York urban fabric.

LeMessurier's original design for the chevron load braces used welded joints. However, during construction, builder Bethlehem Steel was approved to use bolted joints to save labor and material costs. LeMessurier's firm approved the change, although this was not known to LeMessurier himself. The original welded-joint design had ample strength to withstand the load from straight-on wind, with enough safety margin to withstand the higher loads from quartering wind; however, the load from a 70 miles per hour (110 km/h) hurricane force quartering wind would exceed the strength of the bolted-joint chevrons. The bolts could shear and the building could collapse. Due to a design oversight and changes during construction, the building as initially completed was structurally unsound. For his original design, LeMessurier calculated wind load on the building when wind blew perpendicularly against the side of the building—wind from due north, east, south, or west—all that was required by New York building code. Such winds are normally the worst case, and a structural system capable of handling them can easily cope with wind from any other angle. Thus, the engineer did not specifically calculate the effects of diagonally-oriented.





In June 1978, prompted by discussion between a civil engineering student at Princeton University, Diane Hartley, and design engineer Joel Weinstein, LeMessurier recalculated the wind loads on the building, this time including quartering winds. This recalculation revealed that with a quartering wind, there was a 40% increase in wind loads, resulting in a 160% increase in the load at the chevron brace connection joints.

LeMessurier reportedly agonized over how to deal with the problem. If the issues were made known to the public, he risked ruining his professional reputation. He approached the architect first, and then Citicorp.

He advised them to take swift remedial action. Ultimately, he persuaded Citicorp to repair the building without informing the public, a task made easier by a then-ongoing press strike. For the next three months, construction crews working at night welded 2" steel plates over each of the skyscraper's 200 bolted joints. They worked during the night, after each work day, almost unknown to the general public. Six weeks into the work, a major storm (Hurricane Ella) was off Cape Hatteras and heading for New York. With New York City hours away from emergency evacuation, the reinforcement was only half-finished. Ella eventually turned eastward and veered out to sea, buying enough time for workers to permanently correct the problem. As a precaution, Citicorp did work out emergency evacuation plans with local officials for the immediate neighborhood.

To help stabilize the building, a tuned mass damper was placed in the mechanical space at its top. This substantial piece of stabilizing equipment weighs 400 tons (350 metric tons). The damper is designed to counteract swaying motions due to the effect of wind on the building and reduces the building's movement due to wind by as much as 50%. Citigroup Center was the first skyscraper in the United States to feature a tuned mass damper. In addition, in 2002, one of the columns was reinforced with blast-resistant shields of steel and copper as well as steel bracing to protect the building due to the possibility of a terrorist attack.





The unique configuration of the Citicorp building allowed Stubbins to create episodic moments of damping throughout the public space below as well. One of the largest challenges in this regard was to extend the concept of damping to the church below the northwest corner of the building, Saint Peter's Lutheran Church. Originally built in 1836, the church withstood many new urban developments, and Stubbins incorporated its presence into the design of the Citicorp building by creating a structure that appeared harmonious with the tower. Citicorp obtained the property of the church in 1970 for \$9 million, under the stipulation that the church would be rebuilt in the exact same location and that at least 63% of the church perimeter would have nothing built above it. Initially posing as a source of conflict, Stubbins collaborated with the congregation to create an agreeable design. Stubbins redesigned the church in likeness to hands enclosed in prayer with light piercing the center. By using the thick material Caledonia granite, Stubbins created a place of autonomous worship where damping occurs through sheltering the worshippers within a space that is acoustically protected from the exterior urban environment.

Another episode of damping occurs within the design of the sunken plaza as well. Designed to become an inviting space for visitors in which light is brought into the subway mezzanine below, the plaza was intended to dampen the sounds of New York City. Visitors are able to escape the density and noise of the city by descending into a quiet refuge, where a water feature designed by Sasaki deafens the exterior noise of cars, construction, subways and people themselves. The effect of damping within the public space is especially apparent through the water feature.

The Citicorp building and the surrounding area was ultimately founded under the premise of damping. Citibank took many factors into consideration when creating their headquarters, and what began as an initial conception to expand their main office ultimately transformed into a structure that was reflective of their philosophy of security for their clientele, or damping external forces.





For the Djerba of Tomorrow

De-fencing the Mosque Investigation into Rural Religious Edifices and Settlements of Djerba Island

> Professor Ziad Jamaleddine TA Alexander Odom

> > Advanced Studio V

Studio Travel and Site : Djerba, Tunisia

Fall 2019



Actor Diagram explaining the various actors involved in the process of change: the Menzel Community, the community mosque along with the Imam

The project looks at the idea of Tahara in Islam and tries to extend the social practise of the ritual of cleanliness in Islam on a local community level. The proposed project creates a new gateway to an existing mosque, something like a threshold, which not only allows the visitor to do the rituals of entering a mosque but also becomes a container of cleanliness.

An Islamic individual going to the mosque for the prayer takes along with him the household waste, which before entering the mosque, he can deposit in the recycleable or non recycleable pits, which then are converted into manure and fertilizers for the crops for the farmers, or turned into instruments which can help the community for farming. This creates a sense of circular economy of trash reducing the carbon footprint of waste generated and transported additionally to inducing a new social practice in the world.





Major towns witha population of 1000+ are highlighted with the road network.



Community Mosques of small scales overlayed on the towns



Overlapping of zones where the towns are viz a viz the Waste collection zones



Juxtaposing the maps to find the potential sites of intervention and mosques which could create a change in the community

Zones showing the Waste collected by the Muncipality and local body of Djerba



Roads and sites of Major Waste Collection and Highlighted on South East - Landfill to where it is transported





Axonometric showing the design tucked under the landscape and the play in the terrain to let the building subtly be a threshold for the existing Mosque

4 .000

A 322

611

a ma

1 002

13

1 002

1 112

00











Approach route to the design through between the mounds of terrain. The curb here is created with the planters and making agricultural fields on both sides. Approach Route to the Mosque on the top terrace level of the design. The ramp from the threshold opens up to the plaza - creating a Qibla on the outside for outdoor prayers.



Scaling up and down

Extraction Trials in Architectural Design Analysis on Paperand work by Albena Yaneva

Professor Andres Jaque

Transscalarities

Summer 2019

How do architects imagine, see and define a distant object that is meant to become a building? How does it become knowable, real?

To answer these questions, Yaneva follows architects as they fabricate models and scale them up and down at different rates of speed.

Instead of being a logical, linear procedure for generating a new object that becomes progressively more knowable, ascending from the abstract to the concrete, scaling is a versatile rhythm, relying on surges, jumps; and returns.

By focusing on the most frequently repeated moves such as scaling up; jumping the scale; scaling down and describing their cognitive implications, she tries to depict how architects involve themselves in a comprehensive dialogue with materials and shapes.

The material dialogue takes into account dispositions, resistance, stability and other properties that change proportionally with scale.

In the scaling venture, two alternative states of the building are simultaneously achieved and maintained: a state of being ;less-known, abstract and comprehensive; and a state of being more-known, concrete and detailed.

After multiple up and down transitions between small- and large-scale models, the building emerges, becomes visible, material and real.

These scaling trials bring the building into existence.

'While diverse in nature, all Rotor's projects are informed by a consistent methodology rooted in a respect for the lived conditions of the topics the collective explores.' - describing Rotor's work

The article looks at Rotor DC's work where Alison Creba and Lionel Devlieger are co founders and curated of the studio based having work based out of Antwerp, Belgium and Sicily.

The practice looks at reusing the waste generated due to construction, renovation and demolition processes and involves research through physical immersion and engagement at sites.

The studio's stand on transforming not just spaces and materials but also the values associated with the materials have made them this interdisciplinary research organisation which had a chance to work on the Antwerp's City Hall which is on UNESCO's World Heritage List but also has succumbed to damages due to fire in the 19th and the 20th Century.

As the craftsman they are, all the features in the City Hall which were unnecessary or were put as mere decorative elements during the renovation and reconstruction part of the City Hall were removed as a part of their extravagant affair of deconstruction.

These features were catalogues and registered and then sold to the public at extremely high prices which made them get a lot of money including the payment for the cost of labour, transportation, restoration and temporary storage.

This sale signified and reinforced the social values and nostalgia associated with cultural object highlighting a strong interest among the local community to retain and integrate salvaged materials in their daily objects.

Rotor's deconstruction practice is this hands-on kind of research where the act of physically taking apart supplements the knowledge of the individual components harvested from the various sites, analysing and studying these various elements regarding their history, origin etc. to determine the various forces which have gone into the process of construction of the project.

While varied, the work described in the essay demonstrates Rotor's approach to engaging with the lived realities of a project through direct involvement with its subject. Building on observations, materials and experiences gathered on site, the studio's work takes its cues from both historical references and empirical data to inform future pursuits.

Rotor's projects are unified in their practice of reinvesting knowledge in the communities from which it was extracted.

Here, proprietors of salvage yards, building owners, contractors, architects, public officials, academics and local residents are simultaneously reintroduced and empowered to forge new relationships within their unique contexts.

Reverse Engineering Methodology



Analysis on Paper and work by Rotor DC -Alison Creba & Lionel Devlieger

Professor Andres Jaque

Transscalarities

Summer 2019



somewhere between physical & virtual

The Grand Domestic Revolution

Analysis on Paper written by Dolores Hayden

Professor Andres Jaque

Transscalarities

Summer 2019

"...while other feminists campaigned for political or social change with philosophical or moral arguments, the material feminists concentrated on economic and spatial issues basis of material life."

- Dolores Hayden

The Grand Domestic Revolution reveals the innovative plans and visionary strategies of these persistent women, who developed the theory and practice of what Hayden calls **'material feminism'** in pursuit of economic independence and social equality.

The material feminists' ambitious goals of socialized housework and child care meant revolutionizing the American home and creating community services.

They raised fundamental questions about the relationship of men, women, and children in industrial society.

Hayden analyzes the utopian and pragmatic sources of the feminists' programs for domestic reorganization and the conflicts over class, race, and gender they encountered.

This history of a little-known intellectual tradition challenging patriarchal notions of 'women's place' and 'women's work' offers a new interpretation of the history of American feminism and a new interpretation of the history of American housing and urban design.

Hayden shows how the material feminists' political ideology led them to design physical space to create housewives' cooperatives, kitchenless houses, day-care centers, public kitchens, and community dining halls.

In their insistence that women be paid for domestic labor, the material feminists won the support of many suffragists and of novelists such as Edward Bellamy and William Dean Howells, who helped popularize their cause. Ebenezer Howard, Rudolph Schindler, and Lewis Mumford were among the many progressive architects and planners who promoted the reorganization of housing and neighborhoods around the needs of employed women.

In re-evaluating these early feminist plans for the environmental and economic transformation of American society and in recording the vigorous and many-sided arguments that evolved around the issues they raised, Hayden brings to light basic economic and spacial contradictions which outdated forms of housing and inadequate community services still create for American women and for their families.

Although often in the book the technological and the technical seem to remain indistinguishable, which may be intended confusion, it could be argued that the point made here is that of blurriness, interactivity, dependability and relativity between the two.

Barry states:

A technological society is one which takes technical change to be the model for political intervention.

The concept of a technological society does not refer to a stage in history, but rather to a specific set of attitudes towards the political present which have acquired a particular contemporary intensity, salience and form.

The first of the loci where the political intervenes is the technological zones created in the contemporary EU. The discussion offers a theoretical reflection on the problems of providing a strict definition of a technological zone and its separation from other zones.

Southern England, for example, as a topos of symbolic representation of English (idyllic, rural) identity is juxtaposed to its function as a space of military bases.

This militarized aspect of idyllic England creates the locus of resistance to the technologies of military machines and aerospace, planted within the stem of the English rose.

This is where, according to Barry, some of the most important civic demonstrations have taken place.

The argument made here is about the implausibility of describing the relation between technology and government -- even though governance might be a better term here - as one - way.

Technological Zones

Analysis on Paper written by Andrew Barry

Professor Andres Jaque

Transscalarities

Summer 2019

TASCHEN

Chunking the Chaos

Copula Hall Studio based on the fictional book -'The City & The City' written by China Meville

Professor Annie Barrett & Professor Stephen Cassell

Advanced Studio VI

Spring 2020



The diagram explores the reading of the book where locations geographically are mapped with the senses and emotions one draws through the readings.

Copula Hall is a building which serves as an Administrative and Government Building for two cities which are coexisting in the same geographical context. The dilemma - is that occupants of one city cannot see or interact with the other, and to pass to the other city, one must obtain necessary permissions from the authorities at Copula Hall.

The design looks at exploring the idea of publicness in Government Institutions and the notion of public spaces within them.

It draws the people from the street through the various scales of openings and brings them to the central elevated celebration space which becomes a Piazza for both the cities. The ideas of Semantics and Syntax are explored through volumteric studies and taking the language from the exisiting site buildings.

TO BE SEEN WITH 3D GLASSES!	the same and a closshatch is the input of the same and the same time and input of the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and windows many and the same and	I have strate and an end of the second for a solution of the second for a solution of the second for a solution of the second for the second	Temple of inevitable Light is a second secon	Hall Hall daylight Illiering empty stretch enormous galeway	transity, if more not construct this is present of or the familiar power (permuted projection of the atoms of two excession) permute Cofe's (mountains of two excessions) and the more of Coff's (mountains of the excession of the more of Coff's (mountains) that is determined when the set of the excession of the excession of the more of the excession many permute them is determined when the set of the excession.	The series and the series are been and particularly determined and series of series particular and the series particular and the series particular and the series particular and the series and the series and the series and the series of admitted admitted and the series of admitted admitted admitted admitted admitted admitted a







Imaging an urbanscape on the ground level allowing the flow of the people from both the cities to come to the Central Piaza. The site designed by the Town Hall Committee with the Temple of Inevitable Light on the West along with a large park opening up to the site.





Taking the adjacent streets and creating a streetscape inside the for replicating the idea of the city. The design is imagined as this monolith, rising from the ground, all in a single material reading from the outside.





Imagining the program spread across on various follies, breaking it down by giving the open spaces in the middle. The adjacent streets helping create the inner streets resulting in courts and spaces of various scales.





The idea of the passage ways made of up various materials as described in the book of the context and which built up the two cities.

The divided chunks then come together in symphony to overcome the chaos and blend in the landscape together.



















Sectional Perspective through Parliament Hall for the City of Ul Qoma, Oversight Committee Offices, Vehicular Driveway, Town Hall Lobby & office for the City of Beszel

0

12



Sectional Perspective through UI Qoma Offices, Library, Oversight Committee Offices & Office Block







The building as percived from the city, a binder which amalgmates all of cities administrative function and also becomes an open space in the dense inner city. Street side of the designing showing the monolith nature of the facade and the access to public despite it being in a crosshatched area.









Views through the various streetscapes on the footprint creating different sections and experiences for the user to unravel into.



Sectional Synthesis exploring the idea of publicness in an institutional and administrative building.

Objects of Performance

Analysis on works done by Amie Seigel, Formafantasma and Revital Cohen & Turr Van Balen

Professor Andres Jaque // TA Aaron White

Arguments

Summer 2019

The way we look at architecture today can be interpreted in multiple ways. Looking at the form of the object in terms of its aesthetic and visual appeal, understanding the value of the object through different transects of its uses, tracing the object back in time to map its trajectory and evolution.

We look at these different notions of viewing an object by Amie Seigel, Formafantasma and Revital Cohen & Tuur Van Balen as depicted in their films. They all have tried to construct a virtual environment around the object in their films, either by looking at tracing their history in time or their relationship to the user and viewer group or merely just looking at the fascination of the final product what it may be in today's world.

Amie Seigel is an American artist who works variously between film, photography, performance and installation. Her film Quarry speculates on the financial and cultural values of marble and how the material comes to possess its significance. Siegel encourages viewers to look closely and attentively, and to examine the relationship between art and urban cultural space, as well as the value that our contemporary capitalist culture places in material goods. Siegel's camera work and editing strategies encourage viewers to reflect on the parallels and contrasts between the quarry and the luxury real-estate spectacles.

Through suspiciously precise panning and long-observant takes, the beautiful, perfection of real estate developments is imbued with a sense of eerie disillusion. With smooth, careful camera work and a mystical soundtrack, the brutal and raw nature of the quarry is made peaceful and effervescent.

By creating these tensions, Siegel presents these two sites as tenuous and precarious, and frames the economy of these objects as speculative – that their value is in the concept of their virtues, not in their material form. The qualities of tenuous, precarious and speculative, could also be applied to contemporary art and its value.

While viewing the film Quarry, it is important to maintain an awareness that the video itself is a carefully conceptualized and constructed art object restricting the thought of the viewer to look at the film as a description of cultural places in material objects.

The perfection of the pristine filmmaking shots also lingers the thought in the head if these homes could be real?

As in every element in them being completely still and inconceivably perfect. Even the vistas, seen through the windows of these homes are flawless and stable, with no visible activity.

In fact, many of the spaces Siegel shows us are too perfect to be real. By moving between three-dimensional life-size space to model replicas to digital images and 3D renderings, Siegel suggests that the concept of material objects may be more enticing than their actual embodiment.

Although Quarry looks like an advertisement film, it indeed clearly tries to express a socially and politically fueled representation of North American society's mindset regarding material possessions and what consuming them represents. With this critique in mind, it is useful to acknowledge that we as consumers are deeply enjoying what we claim to be critical of by not trying to understand the provoking critical inquiry of material and object consumption.

Formafantasma comprises Andrea Trimarchi and Simone Farresin, an italian designer duo based in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Ore Streams is a research project led by the duo, which was commissioned by the first NGV Triennial. Their installation of office furniture composed of e-waste and images of the cosmos, its elegant modernist structures evoke late capitalism's love for efficiency, quantification and consumption. The project which has grown over a period of time continues to explore design's relationship with the gargantuan, partially illicit global trade in electronic and digital waste. All of the objects were designed for use in an imaginary office. They include a table, chair, desk, cabinet and computer screens, each of which was made from salvaged materials and components constructed from recycled digital junk. Not that you can tell at first glance: only upon closer inspection do you notice that these sleekly refined objects, subtly glimmering with gold plating, contain the corpses of discarded electronic products.

Also along with the object on sale currently on their website we read through the video installation of the interviews Farresin and Trimarchi conducted with recyclers, manufacturers, scientists, designers, recycling specialists at NGOs and the Interpol officials responsible for policing the electronic waste trade. The compilation of their work also includes animated digital renderings, which illustrate their assessment of what designers should – and should not – do to ensure that their products can be recycled as easily and efficiently as possible.

Recycling is an ancient industry and one that can be immensely lucrative – for which reason it has always been tainted by exploitation and criminality. By the mid-1800s, hordes of pickers, searchers and sorters were scouring the huge dust heaps and dunghills that towered over London's slums in the hope of spotting something sellable, such as rags to be recycled into paper or animal bones to be turned into soap. As well as probing the human and environmental devastation caused by such hellholes, Farresin and Trimarchi have mapped the labyrinthine global industry of legalized electronic disposal and recycling in Ore Streams. Currently, less than a third of all digital devices used in the European Union are responsibly recycled. In Ore Streams, the duo set out to identify ways in which design can be deployed to correct the flaws in the current system and to make it more productive and sustainable.

As their research progressed, they became increasingly convinced that an attitudinal change was required within the design community. During the industrial age, designers tended to focus their time and energy on the manipulation of materials into finished products. Farresin and Trimarchi believe that, in future, they should be equally absorbed by the origins of those materials, just as craftspeople are by the provenance of the woods, metals or yarns they use in their work.

The Ore Streams research flushed out examples of apparently innocuous design decisions that impede recycling to greater and lesser degrees. The object made by the duo focuses heavily on these e-waste materials by turning them and adapting them into making furniture pieces of everyday use by sometimes giving a new meaning to them by inserting the rare metals in places for aesthetic or structural purposes. These new pieces of furniture pieces rather than being used in everyday life, become just objects of attraction due their pristine and elegant construction and the high value they come with thus questioning the real goal of reusing e-waste in constructing new objects of usage.

Revital Cohen & Tuur Van Balen are London based artists who work across objects, installation and film that explore processes of production as cultural, personal and political practices.

Their work Luna Eclipse, Oasis Dream is the culmination of their Stanley Picker Fine Art Fellowship and first act of their long-term project, Nearly Winning, which considers gambling as a contemporary condition. Imagining the exhibition as an organism, the artists bring together sculpture, film, light, sound, scent and text to create a space inspired by the subliminal strategies which induce self-delusion as a way of seeing. The film work The Odds, brings together racehorses anaesthetised and collapsed on ketamine in a 'knockdown box', showgirls from a casino in Macau belonging to the world's biggest political donor, and Steve Ignorant from anarcho punk band Crass performing in a bingo hall originally built as a cinema designed to look like a church. Produced specifically for a large LED screen, the footage is overlaid with pulsating light formations inspired by Vegas techniques of visual seduction.

The interconnections evoked draw logic from apophenia - a psychiatric term describing the tendency to perceive meaningful connections between unrelated things or patterns in random information. The resulting assemblage is eternally based on luck, and responsive to the other elements in the space. Looking completely at the visual aspects of the film, we try to see that the curated videos shot by the artist duo reflect on how in our modern highly saturated environments, do the visuals have such a high value of capturing our minds.

It also questions the role of architecture as an agency to promote such kinds of activities which leads to the society playing a major role in 'gambling'.

Looking at the three varied films by these artists, we see the enormous role the medium and media play in terms of the object and its architecture.

It also leaves us with the question of whether it is the 'Object of Architecture', or the other way around where we call it the 'Architecture of the Object' where we look at the objects not just being mere installations used in the films metaphorically to signify or highlight a deeper meaning but as a media of exchange of information which is visually the has its own identity apart from the way it is depicted in the film.
Virtual as an extension to Physical

Final Paper for Seminar titled 'Television' - analysing works on Walter Pichler alongside Haus Rucker Co.

Professor Mark Wigley

Extreme Design

Fall 2019

The introduction of the machine into the home meant that family members needed to come to terms with the presence of a communication medium which might transform older modes of social interactions. Television was supposed to bring the family together but still allow for social and sexual divisions in the home. The environments which Television brought about in a domestic environment were challenged when a futuristic capsule looking like a helmet was created that isolated the user while embedding him or her in an endless web of information; closed off against the outside world, where the wearer completely focuses on the screen within the isolated capsule sending and placing him in an environment - discarding the physical space around him.

The 'TV Helmet' is the work of Walter Pichler and it doesn't merely formally anticipate the cyber glasses developed decades later; Pichler also articulated questions of content in relation to the media experience long before the 'virtual world' was even discovered. Even back then, Walter Pichler was probably already a media critic as he's remained one to this day. But he is also a conceptually thinking artist who explored space early on - beyond the four walls and the structures of cities. Pichler called his invention a 'Portable Living Room'. His pioneering designs, 'The Prototypes', are pneumatic plastic living bubbles from the sixties that sought answers to the questions of tomorrow's individualized life somewhere between the areas of design, architecture, and art. With their reference to space travel and modernist materials, Pichler's futurist sculptures inspire a desire for the future - even if his messages are said to possess a sceptical or sarcastic undertone.

[Architecture] is born of the most powerful thoughts. For men it will be a compulsion, they will stifle in it or they will live - live, as I mean the word. [Architecture] has no consideration for stupidity and weakness. It never serves. It crushes those who cannot bear it. Machines have taken possession of [architecture] and human beings are now merely tolerated in its domain. ^[1] - Pichler wrote these words in 1962, for an exhibition on which he collaborated with a Viennese architect Hans Hollein, where they develop the thesis that architecture cannot act as anything but an impediment to humanity.

Titled 'Absolute Architecture' the exhibition added two new voices to the growing chorus of dissent aimed at derailing architectural functionalism. For Pichler and Hollein, architecture was not what it enables, nor what in encloses, but what it is. Architecture is a thing, and it can take whatever form an architect wishes. Given this seemingly impossible assignment, Pichler and Hollein developed a series of underground buildings, modeled by Pichler in bronze and concrete. These underground environments were to have extensive environmental controls so that their position underground would not matter.

For Hollein, this took the form of literal environmental simulators like his 'Non-Physical Environment Pill', [1967] and later 'Svobodair Spray' [1971], both of which were hypothetical propositions about the power of environmental simulation. Pichler also experimented with such hypotheses in the late sixties, most transparently in the works of his above mentioned 'Prototypes' exhibition of 1967. These strange objects critique new media's ability to induce laziness and gradually decline in its effectiveness. Three of these works in particular - 'TV Helmet / Portable Living Room, Small Room, and Intensivbox' - form a kind of suite, all taking roughly the form of an isolation chamber and including media inputs.



For Pichler, it seems, media isn't architecture, hence he makes it architecture by creating armatures to embody its physical presence somewhat looking like an extension to the human body in obsolete forms.

These works are also a critique of his one-time collaborator Hans Hollein's ironic assertion that 'everything is architecture.' TV Helmet/Portable Living Room and Small Room are to be worn, while the unrealized Intensivbox is a spherical chamber into which a subject is slid on a track.

These isolating simulators remove one from a given reality and can be seen as the ultimate conclusion of technology's encroachment on the body. Constructed of plastic and embedded with television sets and speakers, these helmets enhance the television experience to the detriment of all else. Pichler hoped to isolate and insulate himself from the pitfalls of consumerism and media obsession, but in his helmets this took the form of a literal representation of such pitfalls.

The 'consumer' is isolated from her environment, but within the helmet only media are permitted as input.

For Pichler, media are far from participatory but instead being in a state of sleep and hypnotizing, pulling humanity's attention away from its greatest attributes. Instead of making human abilities more numerous, like prosthetics, the Portable Living Room and Small Room disable a subject from moving with their usual acuity. Unlike the other helmets designed by his Viennese contemporaries, Pichler's does not provide more experience or more engagement, but instead subtracts. It's terribly ironic, therefore, that Pichler subtitles his piece Portable Living Room, because it is certainly not portable, and at best a shoddy simulation of a living room. The Portable Living Room enables a person to remain motionless, separating them from their obligations and necessities to simply be entertained. Pichler sees media not as enabling but disabling, entrapping, enabling of nothing more than laziness.

Pichler's provocation is that if one let's media isolate and insulate, it will be to the detriment of other abilities. If a helmet is a Portable Living Room, it means the only important part of the room is the television something in later years became one of the most prominent parts of the domestic environments of the households. Perhaps Pichler foresaw the perpetuation of television's war on education, its wholesale adoption of entertainment and its denigration of objectivity, choosing to critique these regressions with ironic constructs enabling television's conquest of attention at the expense of all other sensory function.

As television becomes an ever-more self-referential and solipsistic media, early critiques like Pichler's have only gained poignancy.

'... To wonder just exactly what This does to your beloved tot? It rots the sense in the head! It kills imagination dead! It clogs and clutters up the mind! It makes a child so dull and blind, He can no longer understand, A fantasy, a fairyland! His brain becomes as soft as cheese! His powers of thinking rust and freeze! He cannot think -- he only sees! ...'

Poem 'Television' by Roald Dahl

Many today sit idle at their terminals or on their sofas for extended periods, limiting the need for handicraft and patience. In Pichler's world, these traits are paramount, and any device or medium that disables them is worthy of demonization. Pichler doesn't see technology as an enabler of the strenuous life so much as a preventer. Like the simulation machines in the Wachowski Brothers' Matrix series, Pichler's helmets and immersive environments provide an armature within which movement is unnecessary.

Instead of a destruction of the technology he demonizes, Pichler constructs ridiculous analogs to their abilities. If television provides a subtle escape from the ordinary, Pichler's helmets enhance this ability to the point of absurdity. Pichler's environmental simulators aren't portable, and in fact limit one's range of motion quite significantly.



Portable Living Room is the best illustration of this fact, it's elaborate counterweighted system protruding from both sides of the subject's head obtrusively. It doesn't fold, doesn't retract, and doesn't provide anything but sensory input. One puts it on because of a desire to be isolated. With his 'Prototypes,' Pichler definitively states an interest in isolation, a concern that came to dominate his career thereafter.

After Pichler cut offfrom the media built environment to gain more skills in Austria where he remained free to explore and create art at his own pace, he returned only to exhibit and sell his drawings from the technologies developed with these new experiments. These drawing sales had funded an increasingly isolated practice, concerned with sculpting and with constructing ever-more-complex armatures and environments for said sculptures.

Perhaps calling his buildings environments sells short his art work. His constructs are worlds, these virtual worlds in which his work is isolated from both critique and the media-obsessed culture it critiques. They are in fact alternate - but 'not physical' - realities in which his work can remain indefinitely. The 'Prototypes' can also be thought of as such. More than mere simulators or enhancers, they offer the subject another world to inhabit, portable or otherwise.

Up until the present, Walter Pichler's architectonic drawings and sculptures have been characterized by a thought process that crosses the borders between disciplines. For his hominid sculptures of metal and wood, he built his own exhibition spaces that were somewhere between a temple and a container. And they never depart from them: Pichler regards this interplay between space and object as crucial. The one would never be complete without the other.

Where Pichler uses an object to be embodied by a human to enter into the virtual space, something similar to what our VR headsets do in todays time, the Television was merely looked at as an object which could display the virtual space without embodying it. Pichler's intervention gave rise to many other artists such as Haus Rucker Co. to work on the idea of the body and the space.





By considering the human body as part of their work and by exploring the performative potential of architecture and design, they tried to re-engage citizens in the city life while giving good examples of how we can use space in imaginative ways.

By engaging public participation, they created spaces where alternative modes of sociability could take form and criticized the monotone ways in which we address reality, something which artworks of Pichler failed to critique.

Taking their cue from the Situationist's ideas of play as a means of engaging citizens, Haus Rucker Co created performances where a viewer becomes a participant and could influence his own environments, becoming more than just passive onlooker. These installations were usually made from pneumatic structures such as Oase No. 7 [1972], which was created for Documenta 5 in Kassel, Germany.

An inflatable structure emerged from the façade of an existing building creating a space for relaxation and play, of which contemporary echoes can be found in the 'urban reserves' of Santiago Cirugeda. The different versions of the 'Mind Expander series' [1967-69], consisted of various helmets that could alter the perceptions of those wearing them, for example the 'Fly Head' disoriented the sight and hearing of the wearer to create an entirely new apprehension of reality.

Pichler's projects though primitive, were groundbreaking, eerie and yet timeless, and his projects were again uncompromisingly based on exploring the limits of the human body as a structure - not happy and fat and comfortably watching TV - but suspended in anguish, whereas; Haus Rucker Co's installations served as a critique of the confined spaces of the middle class life creating temporary, disposable architecture, whilst their prosthetic devices were designed to enhance sensory experience and highlight the taken-for-granted nature of our senses.

^[1] Excerpted from Pichler, Walter. 'Absolute Architecture,' in Programs and Manifestoes on 20th Century Architecture edited by Ulrich Conrads (London: Lund Humphries, 1970): p181.

^[2] Heidegger, Martin. The Question Concerning Technology and Other Essays translated by William Lovitt (New York: Harper & Row, 1977): p4.



ELECTED IN LAND **M'GOVERN IS BEATEN IN STATE:** DEMOCRATS RETAI

President Loses in City MANY VOTES SPLIT MARGIN ABOUT 60% By 81,920-Vote Margin State to Give Vote **Project** D to the Dakotan Booklet Design by transforming cover page of NYT into a book -Nixon Has a Big Plura reinterpreting through design the way we read news In Jersey and Con Professor Michael Rock & Professor Whitney Dow RONALD SULLIVAN Graphic Architecture Project 3 Fall 2019 Reid Wins as Democrat; Bella Abzug Easy Victor By RICHARD L. MADDEN tatives generally appeared A Rockefeller Loses round in only vindicated but Summary of Other News Mrs. Smith Defeated For Senate in Maine was West Virginia Race V BILL KOVACH

Jork Times oniss

2019 The New York Course C



Shown here are various pages of the booklet created using the cover page of NYT of 9/27/2019





Post Anthropocentrism

Life beyond the Species Analysis on Paper written by Rosi Braidotti Professor Andres Jaque Transscalarities Summer 2019 'The Oedipal relationship between human and nonhuman animals is inherently unequal and structured around the anthropocentric assumption that these other animals exist primarily in relation to humans.'

- observation by Rosi Braidotti

Braidotti, in her essay, talks about the recent developments in the field of animal rights. She outlines the influence of post-anthropocentric neo-humanist Frans de Waal, who, through his work with higher primates, popularized **the idea that empathy and moral responsibility were characteristics not exclusive to humans.**

This is a significant move for many reasons, but most crucially it functions to reinscribe the human within the animal kingdom.

Virtues that were previously understood as tenants of humanism, and thus indicators of our elevation from the rest of the animals, were reconceptualized as developmental or evolutionary tools.

As Braidotti explains, 'the emphasis falls on the ethical continuity between humans and upper primates'. While Braidotti agrees that this turn is important [noting triumphantly that Waal's empathy theory dethroned Dawkins' selfish gene theory, which she describes as 'definitely out'], she admits that she is still skeptical of post-anthropocentric neo-humanism, on the basis that 'it is rather uncritical about Humanism itself'.

She explains that the 'compensatory efforts' on behalf of nonhuman animals is a deeply overdue realization, as humanity is still reeling from two centuries of a self-inflicted superiority complex, such that the response has been largely ambivalent.

That is to say, we may be able to recognize that humanity is not inherently superior to other animals, but our societies are still structured largely as if we were. In this gesture of interspecies good will, humanism has kind of subtly reinstated itself.

Braidotti then turns to the process of Becoming-earth. She identifies the two goals of this section: 'the first is to develop a dynamic and sustainable notion of vitalist, self-organizing materiality; the second is to enlarge the frame and scope of subjectivity along the transversal lines of post-anthropocentric relations'.

To achieve these goals, she first points out the problematic aspects of previous approaches to humanity's relation to the earth. She looks specifically at James Lovelock's 'Gaia' hypothesis, which advocates 'a return to holism and to the notion of the whole earth as a single, sacred organism'.

Although Braidotti admits that this is an extremely seductive worldview in the face of our current ecological crises, she points out that Lovelock problematically reinstates humanist values, through the dichotomizing of nature and culture and earth and industrialization.

In this way, Lovelock fails to account for humanity's situation within nature, and in doing so, problematically reimagines technological progress as a wholly negative enterprise. In his efforts to understand the earth as an organism, Lovelock simply imposes humanistic values onto the earth [i.e., essentially imagining what he would want if he was the earth], and therefore fails to understand the earth for what it is to itself. Lovelock helps himself to Spinoza's monism, but filters it through a distinctly humanist lense: the earth becomes a kind of idol in its relationship to humanity.

Afterlife of Orbital Objects

Resiliency and what it means to reuse space technology Design Seminar - Independent Research and Design Professor David Eugin Moon Speculative City : Crisis, Turmoil & Projections in Architecture Spring 2020

Abstract

As of 2019, the global space industry generates approximately 350 billion US dollars in revenue and is estimated to become a 1.1 trillion-dollar industry by the year 2040, with over 500 satellites being launched into Earth's orbit every year. ^[1] Until recently, national governments had a de facto monopoly on orbital space, using it to exercise military prowess and exert hegemonic dominance in the international political sphere.

Unlike the 'Old Space' era's state driven approach to orbital space (late 1950s to 1990s), this 'New Space' movement (post-1990s) is premised upon an historic shift in which orbital space is increasingly controlled by independent corporate institutions that are profit driven rather than security focused. ^[2] Moreover, the 'New Space' movement primarily involves the exteriorisation and externalisation of infrastructural systems into a much larger extra-terrestrial, vertical domain — that of the hard vacuum of orbital space itself. As a result, Earth's orbital zone —a domain once restricted to the exclusive exercise of military operations in the service of nationalist statecraft — has slowly but steadily become a site for corporate commercialisation. ^[3]

There are a variety of projects that drive this form of commercialisation. These include a vast array of privately-owned, state-mediated orbital infrastructures that involve the application of satellite technologies to our everyday lives. These include using satellites for the purposes of delivering communication services to the public such as relaying data for cable and network television, rapid video conferencing and telephone connectivity, Global Positioning Systems (GPS), climate and environmental monitoring, and land and air stewardship across a multitude of scales. ^[4] Today more than ever, all spheres of our lives — material, social, political — are increasingly mediated by the myriad workings of these privately-owned, techno-scientific objects circling the Earth at different velocities and altitudes.

Despite our massive reliance on satellite infrastructures, we have almost no direct interaction with any of these objects — relatively few of us have ever seen, let alone touched, a satellite, and it is rare that we even acknowledge their presence above us. This is perhaps also unsurprising, since they occupy a territory which 'most of us will never visit — one that only astronauts have seen — and yet it is a place we cannot afford to overlook.' ^[5]

The operation of these orbital objects in peripheral zones of invisibility is not only restricted to their life spans in orbital space, but this sense of invisibility is also maintained in their afterlives. Surprisingly, given the sheer number in orbit, satellites have a relatively short life span — around only 5 to 10 years. ^[6] But what happens at the end of a given satellite's lifespan? What starts as a feat of complex engineering and precision craft eventually ends up being decommissioned in two principal ways, both of which are largely invisible.

We explore one of the ways in which these billion dollar objects, when got back to earth can be reused for upliftment of societies and getting technology to places which havent been explored yet. These objects, not just satellites, but interplanetary rovers, can be used to ease the process of construction and reduce human effort. This kind of infrastructure can be deployed during disasters to create quick areas for refuge and command areas. Also, these military grade equipments can be of massive help to explore and study more about the locality in terms of climate, topography etc. Here, I have illustrated one of the possiblities of the afterlife of these interorbital objects. Once the life span of a satellite is nearing its end, one method of decommissioning is to discard the techno-object in a way that does not interfere with the circulation of global trade and travel routes, and that presents a minimal risk to human beings.

This first approach is known as the "Graveyard Orbit". It is located at about 22,000 miles above the Earth, near the outermost edge of orbital space.^[7] This site is routinely stocked with dead and decaying space artefacts where several thousands of obsolete satellites in various stages of decay lie suspended above the Earth.

The Graveyard Orbit, which occupies a band roughly 300 to 400 km in width, has exponentially transformed, from its beginning as a single orbital zone to an everexpanding space burial region. So much so has the practice of sending dead space techno-objects there expanded it that it has become a "permanent fixture" around our planet, "unlike the rings of Saturn [... which are] made out of dust and debris, the rings of the Earth are made out of dead machines". ^[8]

The second method of decommissioning involves returning the techno-object to the Earth itself. In this approach, which is used by governments and private corporations, obsolete satellites are extricated from their operational orbits and dumped into the depths of the South Pacific Ocean. Located in a remote area of the South Pacific Ocean, there is a zone known as the "Spacecraft Cemetery" that has been used as the re-entry location for several hundreds of decommissioned spacecraft, satellites, and even defunct space stations. Here, obsolete space objects eventually plummet to depths of about two miles below the ocean's surface and their toxic residue has been accumulating there for roughly the past forty years or so.^[9]

A key principle that characterises the present-day workings of satellite systems is that of "false externalisation" — because, despite their seeming status as purely external to the Earth, the forms of techno-waste generated by satellite networks are in fact still subject to a material dialectic between externalisation and internalisation.

Despite their operational lives occurring in a place more or less external to the Earth, these ostensibly externalised toxic wastes are ultimately subject to important processes of (re-)internalisation back here on Earth. Crucially, these processes have dire consequences for Earth's environments — whether built or unbuilt, human or non-human. Moreover, these so-called "externalisations" remain internal to the Earth's life-supporting and self-sustaining systems — the ramifications of which are profoundly destructive, both socially as well as environmentally. The reason these particular tactics are exploited is directly tied to our present context of the ongoing privatisation of orbital space.

Such tactics of false externalisation are advantageous to space corporations, businesses, and other profit-driven organisations, like Elon Musk's SpaceX or Jeff Bezos' Blue Origin, because they allow such groups to lower their operating costs — and thereby increase their profit margins — mainly by avoiding expensive environmental and/or legal regulations.

In other words, this is beneficial to the various powerful, economically and politicallyvested actors not only because it lets them circumvent their economic and environmental responsibilities — which should be part and parcel with the proper decommissioning and disposing of these hazardous forms of waste — but also by allowing them to evade the legal repercussions that would follow if the real effects of such activities came to light. The drawing basically sums up and explain these different layers of decommissioning of the orbital objects, a process we are unaware of, but the questions of refurbishing or reusing of the highly expensive equipment is still something which is being worked on through the years by many private as well as state owned institution.





The discussion regarding resilience is something which is highly focused in today's design. The world has gone through and still undergoing so many drastic clomatic changes and every part of the world is either suffering from drought or flood. These extreme climatic conditions relocate, eradicate and something destroy large settlements. The economy of the country during this time is crippled, and the efforts to help at Ground Zero sometimes do not fruit the best results. At this time, it is essential that World Space One - an organisation which monitors and keeps a tab on all space activities works on keeping an archive of all the equipment either disposed off or returned from its space journey to deploy in such regions as these technologies can be adapted and reused to bring communication and equipments which could help the community to reduce the load of rebuilding of infrastructure.

The design here looks at a small village in Africa which is currently going through drought and the occupants are slowly evacuating the village to move to better prospective towns. Here the equipments are deployed creating educational and awarness facilities regarding agriculture in hot and dry regions which could be used by the villagers to learn various other methods of growing crops. It slowly starts attracting tourists and becomes a visitors center along with a community center. It is completely off the grid and generates its own power with the help of solar panels. It communicates through sattelites and the construction material is made from recycled and reused parts of the space stations and sattelites as well as from local sourcing. The design looks at the idea of sloping roofs in traditional African houses made from straw and replicated the canopies to create these various spaces of interaction, enclosed as well as open to sky.



The enclosed spaces under the canopies become spaces of education as well as community gathering spaces for the people. It serves as a node for all activities and adds onto the additional attractions for tourists and environmentalists alike.



The construction scaffold, made up of metal with solar panels on top along with encolsure spaces made in rammed earth and bricks talk about the naturalization of these spaces. The local construction methods are more adaptive to the climate and weather changes and thus help in boosting of this infrastructure.



Many African countries are flooding today due to drastic climatic crisis, and if they do not adapt to the changing climatic conditions, then they risk development of the community and infrastructure. The resused solar panels create topiaries and other various instruments aid in the process of developing post disasters.



Space rovers - after they have finished their mission are either left on the interplanetary object or dismantled and got back, in which case, most of the parts are resuable - these parts could be used in construction industry to help with resilient disaster housing project

[1] *Space: Investing in the Final Frontier*, Morgan Stanley, accessed March 23, 2020, https://www.morganstanley.com/ ideas/investing-in-space.

[2] *The Old Space Program and the New Space Movement* | *Library of Flight*, accessed March 24, 2020, https://doi.org/ 10.2514/5.9871624103223.0099.0106.

[3] The Society Pages, *Outer Space and Earthly Inequalities – There's Research on That*, accessed April 05, 2020, https:// thesocietypages.org/trot/2017/03/24/outer-space-and-earthly-inequalities/.

[4] What Are Satellites Used For? - Union of Concerned Scientists, accessed April 05, 2020, https://www.ucsusa.org/nuclear-weapons/space-weapons/what-are-satellites-used-for.

[5] Necsus | Orbital Ruins, accessed April 08, 2020, https://necsus-ejms.org/orbital-ruins/.

[6] *How Long Should a Satellite Last: Five Years, Ten Years, 15, 30*?, SpaceNews.com, April 23, 2020, https://spacenews. com/how-long-should-a-satellite-last/.

[7] Graveyard Orbits and the Satellite Afterlife | NOAA National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS), accessed February 18, 2020, https://www.nesdis.noaa.gov/content/graveyard-orbits-and-satellite-afterlife.

[8] Paglen, Trevor, Rebecca. Solnit, and Aperture Foundation. Invisible: Covert Operations and Classified Landscapes, 1st ed. New York: London: Aperture; Thames & Hudson [distributor].

[9] Nasa Has a 'spacecraft Cemetery' Where It Buries Used Satellites, Mail Online, October 23, 2017, http://www. dailymail.co.uk/~/article-5007681/index.html.



The Object of Architecture & Architecture of the Object

Final Paper for Theory Seminar analysing Deconstructivist Movement in Architecture & what it means to create a iconic landmarks

> Professor Bernard Tschumi TA Valeria Paez Cala

Architecture: The Contemporary

Spring 2020

Abstract

'Deconstructivism has been understood as a concept that intends on defying symmetry and coherence. It tries to mimic the decay and disintegration of form. It threatens the values of harmony, unity and stability... It proposes a new view of the structure that the flaws are intrinsic to the structure and thus cannot be removed.'

The 20th century was a period in the history of humanity that was marked by numerous technological advances, many discoveries and achievements in terms of knowledge, science and the arts, as well as numerous changes and political restructuring. In the Human Sciences, especially in Philosophy, new concepts and thoughts that marked and conquered the opinions of the intellectuals of that time emerged. One of these new concepts was the 'Deconstruction' around the 1960s.

The term 'Deconstruction' was used for the first time by the philosopher Jacques Derrida in his work 'De Grammatologie' in 1967. Deconstructivist Architecture emerged in the 1980s. Deconstruction had as the main intention the rediscovery of new values. through the contrast of concepts, and the suppression of Modernism. Architecture was no exception, because new thoughts, styles, movements and new constructive techniques arose, which produced and caused a (re)affirmation of Architecture in society, through the implementation of new configurations and modern spatial conceptions. Deconstruction, as an architectural movement, arose from the fusion of the Russian Constructivism and other movements related to the philosophical concept of Deconstruction presented by Jacques Derrida. But it is the 1988 exhibition 'Deconstructivist Architecture' organized by Mark Wigley and Philip Johnson at the Museum of Modern Art (MoMA), in New York, that acknowledges Deconstruction in Architecture. Frank Gehry, Peter Eisenman, Daniel Libeskind, Rem Koolhaas, Zaha Hadid, Coop Himmelb(I)au, and Bernard Tschumi were the avant-garde architects featured in this exhibition. On the 25th anniversary of the exhibition, MoMA curator Barry Bergdoll hosted 'Deconstructivism: Retrospective Views and Actuality', which traced the subsequent careers of those seven architects to examine the impact of the exhibition and the changes in architecture in those 25 years.

The paper will try and identify the Deconstruction concepts that were the basis of deconstructivist architecture but keeping in mind that Iconic deconstructivist architects were not committed completely to all concepts of this philosophy as they produced their architectural objects.

Two iconic and well known buildings by these Deconstructive Architects, namely, Peter Eisenman's City of Culture, Spain and Frank Gehry's Guggenheim Museum Bilbao, Spain are presented to achieve the debate and discuss the idea which is lying between iconic and landmark architecture and the idea of the Architecture of the Object and the Object of Architecture.

Introduction

In the 20th century, in the Human Sciences, especially in Philosophy, new concepts and thoughts emerged that marked and conquered the opinions of the intellectuals of that time; one of the new concepts at the time in 1960 was 'Deconstruction'[1]. French philosopher Jacques Derrida started the idea, basically in terms of Language, and then his idea spread through into Architecture. Most of Derrida's work continues a line of thought which began with Friedrich Nietzsche and ran through Martin Heidegger [2]. The term 'Deconstruction' was used for the first time by Derrida in his work 'De Grammatologie' [3] in 1967 and it refers to the way in which the 'accidental' features of a text can be seen as betraying, subverting, its purportedly 'essential' message [2].

Architecture was no exception, because new thoughts, styles, movements and new constructive techniques arose which produced and caused a reaffirmation of Architecture in society through the implementation of new configurations and modern spatial conceptions [1]. Deconstructivist Architecture emerged in the 1980s and had as the main intention the rediscovery of new values through the contrast of concepts, and the suppression of Modernism [1]. Deconstructivism and other movement arose from the fusion of the Russian Constructivism and other movements related to the philosophical concept presented by Jacques Derrida [1].

Deconstruction : a contextualization

In Architectural discourse, translating deconstruction is not to recover faithfully some original, undivided sense of deconstruction. The architectural translation of deconstruction is literally the production of deconstruction [4]. This production must be organized by the terms of a contract between Architecture and Philosophy which is inscribed within the structure of both in a way that defines a unique scene of translation [4]. As Derrida expressed in his Aphorism: 'Contrary to appearances deconstruction is not an architectural metaphor. The word ought and will have to name a thought of architecture, it must be athought at work (...). Next, a deconstruction, as its name indicates, must from the start deconstruct the construction itself, its structural or constructivist motif, its schemes, its intuitions and its concepts, its rhetoric. But it deconstructs the strictly architectural construction as well, the philosophical construction of the concept of architecture. The concept is governed by the model both in the idea of the system in philosophy as well as in the theory, practice and teaching of architecture [5]'.

The deconstruction concepts that were the basis of deconstructivist architecture led to iconic deconstructivist architects who were not committed completely to all concepts of this philosophy as they produced their architectural objects [6]. As Hoteit said: 'The 'transfer' of the concepts of deconstruction to architecture was not direct and literal; some concepts were modified and renamed to suit architecture. Moreover, iconic deconstructivist architects were not committed to all concepts of this philosophy; they were known to focus on one or two concepts in deconstruction and make them fundamental principles of their personal styles in architecture [6]'.

Throughout the 1980s, Deconstruction demarcated and established a set of theoretical projects of different areas of the Human and Social Sciences, covering beyond Literature and Philosophy, Psychoanalysis, Anthropology, Law, Architecture, Theology, Political Theories, among others [1].

Architecture, in this context, can be described as a structural 'reproduction' of philosophical thought, as well as a manifestation and reproduction of different 'appearances' of thought. Thus, the correspondence between Architecture and Philosophy is not only evident in the texts of the philosopher Jacques Derrida, but is also intelligible in Derrida's cooperation with architects, as it was the case of Peter Eisenman and Bernard Tschumi [1]. The fact that Eisenman is not only an architect but also a theorist has facilitated the translation of Deconstruction into Architecture, but he has also contributed to the definition and classification of Deconstruction's Central Concept [6].

The main objectives of Deconstruction are architecture's liberation from the of modern constructive canons, of Rationalism and Functionalism, such as the purity of form, rigor of materials, or the motto form follows the function; it requires the breakdown of all Euclidean geometric foundations which comprise the concepts of uniformity, harmony and firmness; it requires the distortion of the correspondence between the interior and the exterior; and lastly, it requires that the presence of an archetypal construction is initially established which may be deconstructed in the future (Fig. 1). As Wigley declared: 'A deconstructive architect is therefore not who dismantles buildings, but one who locates the inherent dilemmas within the buildings [7].'

According to Wigley, the deconstructive architect puts the pure forms of the architectural tradition on the couch and identifies the symptoms of a repressive impurity. The impurity is drawn to the surface by a combination of gentle coaxing and violent torture: the form is interrogated [7]. To translate deconstruction into architectural discourse is to examine the gaps in deconstructive writing that demand an architectural translation in order to constitute those texts as deconstructive [4]. Wigley mentioned that: 'In each project, the traditional structure of parallel planes – stacked up horizontally from the ground plane within a regular form – is twisted. The frame is warped. Even the ground plane is warped. The interrogation of pure form pushes structure to its limits, but not beyond (...) Moreover, forms are disturbed and only then given a functional program. Instead of form following function, function follows deformation [7].'



Two Exhibitions

'Deconstructivist Architecture' was an exhibition directed by Philip Johnson (as guest curator), Mark Wigley (as associate curator) and assisted by Frederieke Taylor which took place in the Museum of Modern Art (MoMA) in New York between 23th June and 30th August 1988 [1], which acknowledges Deconstruction in Architecture. Earlier, in 1932 Johnson was responsible for other landmark exhibitions such as 'Modern Architecture: International Exhibition.' Philip Johnson, as well as Henry-Russel Hitchcock and Alfred Barr, started a quest for a new style of architecture. Johnson was quoted saying 'I started our quest, for a new style of architecture which would, like Gothic or Romanesque in their day, take over the discipline of our art [7].'

The unconventional architects featured in this exhibition, whose work marks the emergence of a new sensibility in Architecture, were Frank Gehry, Peter Eisenman, Daniel Libeskind, Rem Koolhaas, Zaha Hadid, Coop Himmelb(I)au, and Bernard Tschumi. These architects present their works with diagonal arcs, and warped planes, and disrupt the right angles of Modernism [7].

Regarding the MoMA Deconstructivist Architecture exhibition, Johnson explains that it is a confluence of a few important architects' works that in the 1980s showed similar approaches and similar outputs. Regarding Deconstruction and the architectural projects in the exhibition, Mark Wigley refers that it is the ability to disturb our thinking about the shape that makes these projects deconstructive [7]. Thus, this exhibition represented a preliminary attempt to label a new design orientation and Wigley used the term Deconstructivism to label this new sensibility.

Considering the chosen architectural projects and architects of this exhibition, Wigley stated that: 'They are not an application of deconstructive theory. Rather, they emerge from within the architectural tradition and happen to exhibit some deconstructive qualities. (...) A deconstructive architect is therefore not one who dismantles buildings, but one who locates the inherent dilemmas within buildings [7].'

In 2013, the 25th anniversary of the exhibition Deconstructivist Architecture was celebrated and the MoMA curator Barry Bergdoll hosted 'Deconstructivism: Retrospective Views and Actuality', which traced the subsequent careers of that seven architects to examine the impact of the exhibition and the changes in architecture in those 25 years.

Iconic Architecture v Bilbao Effect

In 1997, the Guggenheim Museum in Bilbao, designed by the architect Frank Gehry, opened to the public. Ever since its opening, the promise of duplicating or copying the transformative effects generated by some exceptional architectural or urban projects have been approached by planners, city authorities, real estate promoters and scientific observers alike [8]. Exceptional architectural projects play significant roles in urban transformation processes as Alaily-Mattar and Thierstein point out [8]. This has led to the use of terms like 'signature', 'branded' but also like 'iconic' which are used to describe certain aspects of exceptional architectural or urban projects, as well as the term 'starchitect' or 'iconic architect' are essential in fulfilling this accomplishment [9]. According to Charkes Jencks [9], the iconic building has replaced the monument and nowadays anything can be an icon. The before and after of Frank Gehry's New Guggenheim has put Bilbao 'on the map', thus bringing it to international attention [11]. As intended by the local government, which commissioned it, it instantly became, for the rest of the world and also for the locals, the symbol of Bilbao.

In fact, city authorities, real estate promoters and developers could see the economic logic of the architectural gesture with its many enigmatic meanings on a landmark, and the same method was applied to any and every building type [8]. As Jencks stated: 'This presented a semantic problem, inverting notions of appropriateness and decorum, for now an outrageously expressive museum could take on the urban role of a cathedral or public building, such as a city hall [9]'. Alaily-Mattar and Thierstein argue that the development of star architectural projects must be regarded as a complex process that has economic, architectural, urban and social dimensions. Muratovski [10] studied the role of architecture and integrated design in city branding. For that to be achieved, the relationship between architecture, branding, territory and iconicity was explored. This author has defined Architecture as 'a medium that can deliver new experiences and perceptions while being a part of a larger system that brings together economic developments, technological progress and social change [10]'.

Two Architects + Two Buildings : Iconic Landmarks for the City





Fig 2. (a) Peter Eisenmann's City of Culture of Galicia, (b)Frank Gehry's Guggenheim Museum Bilbao

Two iconic buildings (Fig. 2), both located in Spain; Peter Eisenman's City of Culture outside Santiago de Compostela (Fig. 3 & 4) and Frank Gehry's Guggenheim Museum Bilbao (Fig. 5 & 6) are analysed and shown here as cases for Iconic Landmarks for the respective cities. Regarding Deconstruction and its architectural expression, it can be said that Peter Eisenman focused on the concepts of presentness and trace, while Frank Gehry focused on binary oppositions and free play [6].

Peter Eisenman's City of Culture of Galicia

The City of Culture is located in north-western Spain, on the Gaiás' Hill in Santiago de Compostela, Province of Galicia (Fig. 3). The design and conceptual basis of the City of Culture comes from the superposition of three different kinds of information namely, 1) the medieval city street plan of the centre of Santiago de Compostela which is superimposed on the topographic plan of Gaiás' Hill; 2) a modern Cartesian grid which is laid over these medieval pre-existences; and 3) the distortion of the topography of the hillside, which was possible through computer modelling software that allowed the distortion the two flat geometries and consequently generated a topological surface which repositioned old and new in a simultaneous matrix]. Hoteit refers to one more superimposition to be added to the downtown's historic street grid, to the topography of the hill and to the abstract Cartesian grid which is the scallop shell: the symbol of the city of Santiago and of Saint Jacques' Routes [6].

Peter Eisenman superimposed these four abstracted traces to create an imaginary site condition that became a real site, conceiving Gaiás as a city itself. The six buildings of the City of Culture are conceived as three pairs: 1) the Museum of Galicia and the International Art Centre; 2) the Centre for Music and Performing Arts and the Central Services building; and 3) the Library and Archives of Galicia. The City of Culture of Galicia is conceived as a large-scale cultural hub which is currently devoted to knowledge and creativity. Its buildings, interconnected by streets and plazas equipped with state-of-the-art technology, are seen as instruments that combine past and present, thus enabling an integrated approach to a strategic element for the development of Galicia which is Culture.





Fig 4. (a & b) City of Culture (c) Diagrams for City of Culture from Peter Eisenmann's Website

As an iconic building, the City of Culture of Galicia (Fig. 4), is perceived as 'a formidable architectural milestone for the new century [12]'. In its webpage, it can be read: 'the City of Culture of Galicia rises on the top of mount Gaiás as a formidable architectural milestone for the new century. Designed by the American architect Peter Eisenman to host the best of cultural expressions of Galicia, Spain, Europe, Latin America and the World, this new "city", inclusive and plural, shall contribute towards meeting the challenges of the information and knowledge society[12].'



Frank Gehry's Guggenheim Museum Bilbao

The Basque city of Bilbao, in northern Spain, was founded in 1300 and reached its peak of prosperity during the industrial revolution. It was Spain's northern capital of steel and shipping up until 1975 when the recession struck and as Plaza said it 'turned it into a decaying backwater [11]'.

In the 1980s, the city authorities began to take the tourism industry seriously as a source of job creation and income [11]. Furthermore, Bilbao lacked a positive image as a consequence of industrial decaying and the terrorism of the ETA [separatist group in Spain]. Plaza was quoted saying that the Guggenheim becomes a symbol of Basque fiscal autonomy, a public investment made without recourse to central government funds.

The Guggenheim Museum Bilbao is located in the old industrial heart of the city, on the edge of the Nervión River (Fig. 5) and features exhibitions organized by the Guggenheim Foundation and by the Guggenheim Museum Bilbao, as well as selections from the permanent collection of the Guggenheim museums.

Gehry's use of cutting-edge computer-aided design technology enabled him to faithfully translate his concept into the structure and into the support construction. This way, it was possible to translate poetic forms into reality. To do so, Gehry's team used an advanced software (CATIA) initially conceived for the aeronautic industry, to translate the eccentric forms of the design into polynomial equations [14].

As an iconic building, the Guggenheim Museum Bilbao (Fig. 6), is perceived as the most important building of its time [15]. In its webpage, it can be read: 'When it opened in 1997, the Frank Gehry–designed Guggenheim Museum Bilbao—a spectacular structure made of titanium, glass, and limestone—was hailed as the most important building of its time' and further ahead 'The Guggenheim Museum Bilbao is a pinnacle in Gehry's outstanding architectural career as well as in the field of museum design. It remains unsurpassed in its integration of art and architecture, maintaining an aesthetic and programmatic unity [15]'.





The building is not only unique but is also located in the appropriate place. The site, once occupied by an old factory, is unusual. The Guggenheim Museum Bilbao sprawls underneath one of Bilbao's busiest road bridges, the Puente de la Salve, ending in a tower of structural steel and stone. Gehry's building, as Plaza referred to it: 'strengthens the image of the city's past, rooted on the shipyards and steelworks, yet looks forward into the future through its innovative design [11]'.

In fact, the same building in a different site would transmit neither the strength nor the significance it communicates from Bilbao. Crumbaugh points out that 'the inauguration of the Guggenheim Museum Bilbao heralded the definitive reconversion of Bilbao's depressed, post-industrial landscape into a European cultural centre and tourist hotspot [13]'. Crumbaugh, when writing about the release of a film shot in Bilbao's most impoverished community, stated that Guggenheim Museum of Bilbao '...hailed as a marvel of postmodern architecture won the museum commission's approval precisely because it integrated and re-created the city's industrial ruins in a more gratifying spectacle of asymmetrical metallic curves [13]'.

The design base of the Guggenheim Museum Bilbao emerges from the concept of a boat, thus reminiscent of the city's port past and it is known for its complex, oversized and dynamic curvilinear configurations. Gehry's work was the centrepiece of an entire urban rehabilitation effort. In fact, Bilbao has achieved other iconic interventions such as a transport network with station entrances by Norman Foster, a transportation hub designed by architects Michael Wilford and James Stirling, a new airport and the footbridge over the Nervión river by Santiago Calatrava as well as a vast waterfront development of parks, apartments, offices, and stores adjacent to the Guggenheim designed by Cesar Pelli [11], [16].

Conclusion

Deconstruction is demarcated by buildings that spread the idea that they are in constant transformation and development, because their configurations and structures, of fragile features, seem to collapse, thus testing the law of gravity, or even revoked the traditional inequalities between the base and the top and the interior and exterior. This way, it could be said that these buildings show a vigorous physical presence at the implantation site, thus transforming them often into authentic passable, habitable and observable sculptures. These buildings, inwardly, disseminate a wide range of sensibilities and feelings to their visitors and inhabitants, sensibilities and feelings that are awakened by the games of light, by the structuring and orientation of spaces, by their materialization, as well as for the activities carried out in them.

Concerning the work of the City of Culture, in Santiago de Compostela, despite the great investment made, it is observed that the complex is devoid and even somewhat forgotten in the city of Santiago de Compostela, due to the fact that there are still elements that are not completely finished, as well as a lack of visitors in both the exterior and the interior spaces of the complex. In opposition, the construction of the Guggenheim Museum in Bilbao is accountable for the development of the city, at a cultural and economic level, as well as the development of the city itself. Nowadays, the city of Bilbao is in permanent progress, thus having become a city of services with a strong tourist character, due to the design and construction of large buildings and under-structures, but always preserving the traditions and the identity of the city. The City of Culture and the Guggenheim Museum Bilbao are two iconic buildings with different follow up processes and different interactions with the cities where they are inserted, but nevertheless, both are architectural landmarks and an integral part of the Deconstructivist Architecture Movement.

References

[1] T. Rodrigues, Deconstructivist Architecture: from concept to architectural object, M. Sc Dissertation, University of Beira Interior, 2017

[2] R. Selden (ed.), The Cambridge History of Literary Criticism, vol 8 From Formalism to Poststructuralism, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995

[3] Jacques Derrida, De la Grammatologie, Paris: Ed. de Minuit, 1967

[4] Mark Wigley, The Translation of Architecture: the product of Babel, Deconstruction III – Architectural Design, vol 60, 1990

[5] Jacques Derrida, Fifty-two Aphorisms for a foreword, Deconstruction, London: Academy Editions, 1989
[6] A. Hoteit, Deconstructivism: Translation from Philosophy to Architecture, Canadian Social Science, vol 11, 2015

[7] Phillip Johnson, Mark Wigley, Deconstructivist Architecture, New York: The Museum of Modern Art, 1988 [8] N. Alaily-Mattar, A. Thierstein, Urban transformations through exceptional architecture: introduction to the special issue, Journal of Urban Design, vol 23, 2018

[9] Charles Jencks, The iconic building is here to stay, City, vol 10, 2006

[10] G. Muratovski, The Role of Architecture and Integrated Design in City Branding, Place Branding and Public Diplomacy, vol 8, 2012

[11] B. Plaza, Evaluating the Influence of a large cultural artifact in the attraction of tourism the Guggenheim Museum Bilbao Case, Urban Affairs Review, vol. 36, 2000

[12] The City Of Culture Of Galicia | Cidade Da Cultura. Cidadedacultura.Gal, 2019, Retrieved 04 May 2020, from https://www.cidadedacultura.gal/en/info/city-culture-galicia

[13] J. Crumbaugh, An Aesthetic of Industrial Ruins in Bilbao: Daniel Calparsoro's Leap into the Void (Salto al vacio) and Frank Gehry's Guggenheim Museum Bilbao, International Journal of Iberian Studies, Vol 14, 2001

[14] D. P. Doordan, Twentieth-Century Architecture, London: Laurence King Publishing, 2001

[15] About Us, Guggenheim, 2019, Retrieved 04 May 2020, from https://www.guggenheim.org/about-us
 [16] H. Conway, R. Roenish, Understanding Architecture. An introduction to Architecture and Architectural

History, London and New York: Routledge, 2005

Energeneration

Studio based on the idea of Degrowth and new economic and market values in Architecture & what it means for design in the future

> Professor Tei Carpenter TA Marylynn Pauline Antaki

> > AAD Summer Studio

Summer 2019



Manifesto Drawing showing the various exchanges of energy and the humans body metrics through these activities

The studio looked at the idea of anti consumerist and anti capitalist societies, which made us dwell in case studies where typically these socities exist in the present world conditions. The next part involved conjecturing our own system of exchange and locate the project in context of New York City on the Canal Street.

Energeneration is a manifestation of a human power plant which produces its own energy to power the building. The exchange of human body energies is looked at as a value of commodity. Various transfers of energies are explored and the project acts as a pier terminal off the Canal Street on the Hudson River acting as a thoroughfare for its visitors and daily users. The human activity here produces energy due to the piezoelectric tiles which are stored in battery banks and often redeemed to its regular users through discounts on their residential electricity bills.





The project is looked at as an extension the promenade along the Hudson River

Taking the footprint and creating a large court in the center for accomodating public activities

Opening up the ground plane to allow access of people as well as increase natural circulation in the central court.





Creating stepping terraces for hosting various energy consuming and expending activities for the city









Metrics of Human Body in relationship to the various forms of activities they are doing. The activities are tracked with the help of an arduino system which will always keep a tab on all human body metrics and the energy the contribute to the Energeneration System.





The Central Court hosting a day rave party for the city. The new culture and tradition trending, helping produce more energy for the building which can be given to power up the city during the nights and keep the New York Skyline lit up through the year.

The interaction of the individual with the water in forms of these large steps which also act are barricades for the water to now flow inside. Inspired from step wells in India, they are public spaces for people wanting to enjoy leisure activities.





One of the levels showing the various activites such as Aerobics and Dance taking place along with Rock Climbing wall at the back. The Green space under the building keep the temperatures on the inside cool as well as create sound barriers from the city.

The central space transforms during the winters turning into a skating rink and a christmas market for the city.

Half Metal Jacket

Digital Fabrication Elective : designing artefacts for the future designing artefacts for the Pandemic

Professor Josh Jordan

Material Things

Spring 2020



The class looked at the idea of artefacts and how they are percieved in Museums in todays world. A hypothesis, where a design for the pandemic exploring the 3D modelling of such objects in the virtual world.

The artefact designed looks at a series of bands made up of brass and silicon worn around the arm which prevent you from touch the contaminated hands to other parts of the body to prevent the spread of virus. The object here is looked as a fashion statement which slowly picks up the hype and becomes a sensation being replicated in various other materials as well.

For the class, a virtual set up of Avery 100 Level was done and the models along with the panels were kept on display for the guests to have a virtual walkthrough.

Half Metal Jacket East Indo-American region, circa 2020

on loan from the Joshua Jordan Foundation for Silly Antiquities

Dance like nobody's watching, because they are not, they are all busy trying to touch their face'

Shockingly found in a *coffin-like* trunk buried on Hart Island, Bronx-*ian* Peninsula; by a young excavator group calling themselves, 'Unearthing Rothstein'. Carbon dating of the trunk cover puts in back in circa 2020, roughly around the time when a *mammal-driven* pandemic struck planet Earth erasing almost 30% of world population.

On further investigation of these objects, metallurgists have found a large trace of anti bacterial 'liquid metal' solidified to create an alloy with brass and copper. This large gives us a clue as these objects could have been fashion accessories for curbing the spread of the disease.

These objects on display are a part of the collection of pipes and tubes which have been removed from the coffin. They seem pretty ordinary pipes and tubes made up of metal, but it seems that these fashion trendy objects of the period were used as jewellery by many to prevent themselves touching parts of their body which could make them contagious to the disease.

Now: These have been cleaned and preserved in a pandemic proof multipolycarbonate casing to prevent spread of any contamination. The objects on the humanoid are prototype of the objects 4D scanned and plasma printed by Joshua Jordan Foundation for Silly Antiquities.



From Archive ; Vitrovian Pandemie Gladiator²- artwork designed by Tigglypuff²- a pleb working for Joshua Jordan Foundation for Silly Antiquities - CIRCA 2120

MALE

TELLS US HOW

TO GROW UP. HOW TO SURVIVE

A PANDEMIC AND LIVING INDOORS WIT

FAMIL

ANDRAOS



Kobe Bryant before his death in the customised 'Lakers' Half metal Jacket.



Brad Pitt accepting his Oscar for his performance in Street Thug' in the Carbon finished Half Metal Jacket during the 2021 Academy Awards Ceremony

Archives : Experiences & Experiments Half Metal Jacket, CIRCA 2020

Amale Andraos & Dan Wood on the cover page of GQ Magazine - Half Metal Jacket caught trend in the fashion industry redefining clothing styles by power couple

EVERYONE'S ATTENTION

CORKac DU

CENTUR

ments

'It is in the field of reproduction—sexual, social, cultural—that we confront the most crucial dimension of contemporary power.'

- Paul Preciado

Baroque Technopatriarchy focuses on how, within sexual, social, and cultural forms of reproduction, we confront the most critical dimensions of power.

To me, Preciado's text serves as an introduction to the ways in which discourse, language and biotechnology are caught up with the engineering, production, and policing of different bodies.

To explain the relationship between power and technologies as they are utilized by political regimes to regulate the collective ways of capturing, distributing and reproducing life, Preciado describes three historical types of power technologies: First, there is the necro patriarchal power regime, under which only the male body is a

fully sovereign body.

This is followed by modernity's heterosexual-colonial regime, during which political and (supposedly) anatomical categories of race and sexual difference were developed, regulated and employed in such a way as to easily transplant social constructions, such as the nuclear family, which function as forms of cultural colonization, and support the development of the the labor force of industrialised nation-states.

The third regime is the pharmacopornographic regime, defined by the mapping and manipulation of genomes; the invention of the concept of gender; the use of hormones and surgery to alter the body; and the increasing instances of mass destruction.

At the brink of possible mass extinction, Preciado asks for an evaluation of the evolution of linguistic codes of social and cultural reproduction as key elements to consider in any investigation of the uses of power.

He advocates learning as the cultural analogue of genetic recombination and as a way of collectively mutating within brief time spans and adapting to rapid change.

The essay soughts to open up and construct a platform to discuss the complex relationship between changes in textual bodies; changes in the representation of bodies in literary texts, and technological changes in the biological construction of human bodies.

Also, I like the relationship which is drawn between gender and reproduction, exploring how new technologies both challenge and discursively produce the natural facts of and assumptions about sex and human reproduction.

Baroque Technopatriarchy

Analysis on Paper written by Paul Preciado

Professor Andres Jaque

Transscalarities

Summer 2019

Jinish Pravin Kavita Gadhiya MS Advanced Architectural Design jinish.gadhiya@columbia.edu +1 929 402 5147 GSAPP 20