CONTESTED GROUNDS – The Spatial Politics of Memory/History [draft]

The past is now not a land to return to in a simple politics of memory. It has become a synchronic warehouse of cultural scenarios, a kind of temporal casting, to which recourse can be taken as appropriate, depending on the movie to be made, the scene to be enacted, the hostages to be rescued. Arjun Appadurai in Modernity at Large

Instant forgetting is the disease of our time. Thomas Frank, radio interview, 1 January 2010

(left, Rhodes Must Fall protest, University of Cape Town 2016)

One report from a local preservation committee described the Cecil Rhodes Statue that towered over the University of Cape Town’s campus as a fine example of coat drapery rendered in bronze. But for university students the likeness of Rhodes, a white late 19th century colonialist figure who waged war against black populations to expropriate their land for the British Imperial enterprise, and whose racist philosophy became the scaffold for mid-century racial apartheid, the founding father stood for the continued social inequalities and racial injustice that persists in South Africa even after apartheid’s fall. The students initiated the campaign #RhodesMustFall in April 2015 and one month later the statue was removed. About another site, “It was a bad memory,” explained the Bahrainian authorities five years ago about why they imploded the Pearl Roundabout Monument (1982) along with removing all coins and souvenirs that referenced the public sculpture. Originally a symbol of regional cooperation of Gulf nations, its new meaning had become an iconic rallying place for citizens protesting against the current political regime during the Arab Spring uprisings. Two years ago marked the twentieth-fifth anniversary of the fall of the Berlin Wall and along with it the collapse of Communism and the so-called heroic triumph of Democracy and Capitalism. Amidst the commemorative events and reportage that documented the transformation of Berlin whose new civic and national identity can be seen in the proliferation of new museums, office towers, and public buildings in the capital of the unified German State, curious journalists sought traces of the memory of a city that had once been cleaved into two ideological civic spheres and nation-states of an East and a West. September 11, 2016 marked the fifteenth anniversary of “9/11.” But did its commemoration prompt a reassessment of the architectural efforts, a complex and fraught endeavor, to memorialize lower Manhattan’s “Ground Zero?” How should the monumental “Freedom Tower,” an emphatic declaration of the U.S.’s perceived invincibility within a world of unequal global power relations, be interpreted more than a decade after the event?

These recent examples require that we understand how discourses, representations, and practices of memory, history, and politics impact the social production of space and the making of the built environment. Critical for this seminar will be for us to sort out the difference between the formalization of the past through history and monumental architecture and the informal experience of the past through memory, it’s various incarnations of collective, individual, and cultural. Rather than position history/memory as binaries, this seminar examines the different ways that time and space are correlated toward political ends. We will situate the tensions between these modes of recalling the past amidst the rise (and fall) of the modern nation-state and the compression of space/time under regimes of globalization and neo-liberal policies. Monumentality in architecture and art have been seminal topics of research within their respective fields, however, the study of its wider political implications has recently only been addressed in new scholarship. For our purposes, scholars in the fields of memory studies, history and cultural and critical theory who analyze the political dimensions of how publics engage the past through buildings and cities will offer useful approaches.

Course Admission:
There are no prerequisites to this course, but students who are interested in enrolling must submit one page statements (PDF or MSWord) that outlines why this course would be relevant to their current work or research. Also include in order of preference three readings you would like to present (see below and for list of readings see Files and Resources/Syllabus/CG_Presentation_List.pdf on Courseworks). Please send these to Prof. Wilson at mow6@columbia.edu no later than Friday January 20, at 6pm. I will notify those who are admitted to the course by Saturday January 21 noon. Please include your name, e-mail, academic program and year on your statement. Please attend the first seminar meeting on Tuesday January 17, 2017 from 4-6pm Buell 300 South.

Course Requirements:
T&S – tell and show, otherwise known as presentations
(for list of readings see Files and Resources/Syllabus/CG_Presentation_List.pdf on Courseworks)
This course will be run as a series of discussions, and therefore all students are responsible for completing all reading assignments. These discussions will be jumpstarted by the observations of 1 or 2 student presenters each week and supplemented by questions from students and commentary from the professor. These fifteen-minute presentations should illuminate for the class some of the key concepts of the readings and identify aspects of those readings likely to be most helpful in your own thinking about the issues and in your work. All students are required to:
• Present a brief biography of the author, i.e. date of birth, death (if relevant,) education, academic appointments or artistic practice, etc.
• Present the theoretical framework of which the reading is a part. For example, a presentation of Halbwachs should acknowledge the influence of Durkheim and mention other writers who are indebted to his work.
• Diagramming or outlining the author’s argument and theoretical framework might also prove useful in your presentation.
• Please select no more than 10 images, or video/film footage (no longer than five minutes) to analyze and discuss in relation to the reading. Explain why the image(s) are relevant to the reading by reviewing very carefully its content and form.

The scope of these presentations means that you must consult other resources—primary and secondary sources—that further your understanding of the various fields relevant to the course. This presentation may be the foundation for your analysis paper (see below.) Presentations should be clear, thoughtful, and informative. If it helps, prepare your presentation in the form of an essay and read aloud in class – you will have 15 (timed) minutes. Please arrive 10 minutes early to set up for your presentation and be ready to begin promptly at 4 pm. The presentation along with participation in discussions will count toward 1/4 of your grade.

Analysis Paper– You are required to write one short analytical paper—2500 word text (5-7 pages) + images—in response to the first half of the semester’s themes. All students are expected to be able to write in a clear concise well-developed essay format. This is an essay that uses one or two of the course’s readings to analyze a site, building, or event. In writing the paper students should consider the readings’ key themes and how those concepts might relate to the core concepts of the seminar. It should have a balanced narrative of description and analysis. This paper should not be a summary of the readings, but instead should be a critical inquiry into the spatial politics of memory. Please email your paper (PDF or MSWord doc) due 21.02.17 at the end of class. Please include visual material, appropriately captioned and cited, to further illustrate your argument.

This paper will aid in your comprehension of the readings, develop your capacity for critical thinking, and sharpen your comprehension of the material:
- Remember analysis papers should have an introductory paragraph stating a clear critical thesis.
- The best way to begin an argument, in the introductory paragraph, is to pose a set of critical questions to which topic does or does not respond.
- Next, the body of the paper should logically develop that thesis with examples (appropriately cited) from the reading(s) or other texts and images that support your argument.
- And finally, the conclusion should synthesize your points and make an original statement or observations about the reading.

Remember an analysis is not a summary, but a critical perspective on the readings and an expansion of the authors’ themes.

Please consult a writing guide such as the MLA Handbook or the Bedford Writing Handbook for assistance with grammar and structure. All papers must be written in a legible font (typically 10-12pt. Helvetica, Times Roman, or Courier) double-spaced, 1-1.25 inch margins, and paginated. Please include your name and date. Use endnotes or footnotes. All images, quotes or referenced material must include citations formatted according to a writing manual of style (MLA Handbook or Chicago Manual of Style.) This essay will count toward 1/4 of your grade.

Final Research Paper – All students are required to turn in a final research paper (4000 words + images; 7500 words + images for Ph.D students) This paper should take the critical insights of the readings and expand them into the analysis or comparison of a particular building, architect, city, landscape or event. All research should present a clear comprehensible thesis and demonstrate thorough research. During the week after spring break in each student will meet with the professor to discuss the direction of the research topic. The final project will count toward 1/2 of your grade and will be due 05.11.17 via e-mail PDF or MSWord doc) no later than 5pm

Papers will be evaluated on the following criteria: strong thesis; clear, logical, and original argument; critical and creative analysis of theoretical texts and visual material; serious effort, preparation, and engagement in the subject matter. Please consult a writing guide such as the MLA Guide or the Bedford Writing Handbook for assistance with grammar and structure. All papers must be written in a legible font (typically 10-12pt. Helvetica, Times Roman, or Courier,) double-spaced, 1-1.25 inch margins, and paginated. Use endnotes or footnotes. All images, quotes or referenced material must include citations formatted according to a writing manual of style (MLA or Chicago Manual of Style.)

Plagiarism will not be tolerated. Plagiarism is the theft of someone else’s thoughts, writings, or work that you claim as your own—this includes copying the work of a classmate or rewriting an essay prepared for another course. The purpose of utilizing a writing handbook is to fully understand when a thought is an original idea or when and how it should be accredited to someone else through a reference, footnote, or endnote. Those caught plagiarizing will automatically fail the assignment and potentially fail the course.

Attendance and Participation – Attendance is mandatory. Students are required to attend the full length of all classes, attend required extracurricular events, and participate in weekly discussions. If you cannot attend class, you must notify the professor in advance by e-mail explaining why you are unable to attend the class. Please be on time so that we can begin at 4pm.

Readings –
All readings are available online at Columbia Courseworks. All books can be found on-line in Proquest Ebrary and Duke Ebook Project databases on Columbia Libraries E-Resources webpage.
For this course students are expected to have some familiarity with foundational theoretical texts. Excellent sources for these writings include (also see various resources on-line):

- Critical and Cultural Theory by Michael Payne, Meenakshi Ponnuwami
- A Dictionary of Cultural and Critical Theory by Rolf Keiser, Alois Riegl
- The Cult of Monuments: Its Character and Its Origin (1928.)
- The Texture of Memory: Holocaust memorials and Meaning

**Week 1 – Introduction – 17.01.17**


Artifact: Stone Auction block from Hagerstown, Maryland. https://nmaahc.si.edu/object/nmaahc_2015.213

**Week 2 – Discourse on Spaces of Memory-History-24.01.17**


**Week 3 – Space/State/Nation - 31.01.17**


**Week 4 - Collecting Memory/History - 07.02.17**


**Week 5 – Sites of Memory/History - 14.02.17**


**Week 6 - Architectural Cult of Monuments – 21.02.17**


* ANALYSIS PAPER due

**Week 7 - Modernism’s Monumental Problem – 28.02.17**


**Week 8 – Spatial Politics – case study Post-War Germany - 07.03.17**


**Week 9 – SPRING BREAK**

**Week 10 – Politics of Preservation and Reconstruction - 21.03.17**


**Week 11 – Whose Ground Zero? - 28.03.17**


**REQUIRED:** visit 9/11 Memorial [https://www.911memorial.org/memorial](https://www.911memorial.org/memorial) [option: also visit 9/11 Museum; note: fee required for entry [https://www.911memorial.org/museum](https://www.911memorial.org/museum)]

**Week 12** – 04.04.17 - Violence, Media and Memory Guest: Prof. Laura Kurgan, Center for Spatial Research, GSAPP


**Week 13** – 11.04.17 - Racial Violence and Politics of Memory 1 – case study South Africa


Museum: District Six Museum, Cape Town, see: [http://www.districtsix.co.za/](http://www.districtsix.co.za/)


**Week 14** – 18.04.17 - Racial Violence and Politics of Memory 2 – case study United States

Dwyer, Owen J. “Location, Politics and the Production of Civil Rights Memorial Landscapes,” *Urban Geography* 23:1, pp.31 – 56


**Week 15** – no class

**FINAL PAPER due – 04.05.17**
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