In Core I design studio, students will be introduced to fundamental concepts about architectural thinking and ways of making that draw connections between form, environment, performance, and site. As a point of departure, the studio will investigate and work with multiple interpretations of ground. Ground is no longer accepted as the default abstract horizontal plane, but as a conditional, relational, aesthetic, and contextual space.

Assignments will build in strategic sequence, each reinterpreting conditions of ground. Drawing and modeling investigations will offer diverse ways of seeing and reading form, building up layers and processes of making, extruding and transforming in three dimensions.

Our studio will consistently consider architecture in relation to or with something else; such as Architecture [and] program, Architecture [and] site, Architecture [and] environment, Architecture [and] politics; always Architecture [and] ... This supposition guides our creative process and opens opportunities to integrate architecture within multiple contexts.

We will learn the fundamentals of architecture, and simultaneously question them, to establish connections between Architecture [and]...

Architecture [and] the city. While it is important to first understand and learn fundamentals, we are at a moment where architecture and the city are never separate. Our studio will progressively become more integrated into New York City.

Our foundational ground shifts with modifiers or syntax: infrastructural field conditions, vantage points, lines of inquiry, energy vectors and planes of dissection. We will develop an architectural language through a series of Archi-Types that move between scales: from the human to the urban, the architectural detail to the master plan, the micro conditions of materiality to macro tectonics.

This language will translate into 4 projects investigating 4 central conditions, each of which reconsider the conception of ground and challenge the fundamental statics of architecture: kinetics versus virtual motion and force.

The studio is our space for conceptualization, critical exchange, graphic and material production. High energy, open-mindedness and passionate engagement are the prerequisites for Core I. In studio we will work intensely and collaboratively; ideas will be valued and clearly represented; constructive criticism and bold design responses constitute our primary means of communication.

Operative Terminology:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNDER</th>
<th>ABOVE</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>ON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>transparency and void</td>
<td>dynamics and solid</td>
<td>figure ground</td>
<td>line, surface, volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 *ENVIRONMENT  
2 *SITE  
3 *PROGRAM  
4 *ECOLOGY
Projects:

[1] UNDER: moving in relation to the horizontal plane, questioning the statics of architecture. Fluidity, continuously shifting limits, establishes a dynamic ground. Movement in a fluid environment suggests the penetration of surfaces to allow one to change from one state to another, one vector to another.

Architecture may be shaped in association with virtual motion and force, adapting to its changing environment. We instrumentalize transparency and void to merge with the environment, operating on the site of condensed kinetic micro-urbanism.

[2] ABOVE: rotating the ground [plane of interaction] vertically, turning the street corner, we will work with static dynamics: the moment, translating the corner into dynamic architecture.

A corner involves two planes, nominally elevations, that meet and create a line piercing down to the ground. Architecturally speaking, the corner is the great challenge from Pericles to Mies.

A proto-corner overcomes dialectics of inside versus outside by being hinged, and therefore reversible. The proto-corner redistributes the horizontal plane of the city grid into the vertical field of the facade, or in other words, translates a projected analysis grid and defines a new ground of interaction and occupation: an urban vertical condition, habitable facades in a city. Architecture intersects the site as a translated field condition.

[3] IN: Pushing the modes of inhabiting the city, we discover the space in-between the figure of architecture and the solidity of the ground as a new point of departure. The point of departure is something that appears and vanishes in terms of buildings, culture, and language. As a city, it is rooted within tectonics. Architecturally speaking, the Lost + Found portal balances between the subtracted ground and the new man-made structure, a carved out space for the misplaced, conceptually lost between public and private, the personal and political, social engagement and poetic disengagement, competing identities, all which constitute the complex territory of [the] city.

The excavation in the ground interrupts the vector of horizontal motion, allowing motion vertically, as a structured transition between platforms [above and below], where memory and history intersect. The transition space from ground to underground builds off of the proto-corner either as a well-defined threshold or lack thereof. The portal shapes the way we move through the city, reclaiming architecture as program in a space of transition and transience, a space of passing through, not purely infrastructural, but highly architectural.

[4] ON: Lines of motion and action—vectors—under, above, through and across the city culminate in the last project: the X-Pier. A new ecological ground as an activated surface, a calibrated intersection between land and water, urban and void, the X-Pier embodies the changing waterfront, projecting the future of the East River, and standing as a horizontal monument on the shore of New York, pointing out to sea. The X-Pier reinterprets ground, territory and topography by exploring interlocking surfaces, urban networks and structures, providing shelter with density.

Conceptually, the pier can act as a bridge, or link, a network merging conditions, blurring boundaries and creating an adaptive barrier between two urbanisms, between two worlds: the vertical plane of the towering city and the horizontal plane of the water. Unlike a bridge, a pier is not necessarily sculptural. The X-Pier acts as a meeting point and intersection, shifting scales and our perception of time and sense of space, a collage of conditions both physical and conceptual, producing a hybrid which hasn’t appeared before.

The X-Pier is not only reaching out onto the water, but potentially also reaching in: an augmentation of the city’s surface, an ecology connecting the East River Park to the City.
STUDIO STATEMENT

Projections:

> Apart from the resulting architectural bodies, or Archi-types, we will focus on interactions between the human body and the urban body: crossing the lines of transportation, adaptation and configuration to project a future reality of what it means to inhabit the city.

Conditions, which form and inform our interactions, which we experience on a daily basis, all relate to the physical and conceptual ground, site, land or field. We are setting and testing boundaries, staying within site-lines or breaking out of them, operating on both physical and conceptual projections.

In New York City, air rights and land-leases act as projected site-lines, influencing the way we build. We are investigating those site-lines, searching for a way to expand out – into the void, through the ground and onto the East River.

We are also investigating transitions, movement, and connections on both a detailed and grand scale. Each project builds off the last and threads of each run throughout the semester.

We will look at bodies of architecture resulting from the necessities of moving, from the human to the urban body, moving forward from point A to point B, but also onward with different speeds and in different modes. We design the points of departures, or arrivals, upward and downward interactions, horizontal intersections with the city’s surface: the urban ground made visible through architectural bodies.

* Be attentive to recommendations, be curious and learn from your peers, be open to suggestions, and never slow down in response to critique...
### WEEK 1: DEPARTURE << >> BRIEF 1

**07 08 09**

- **07**: ALL SCHOOL ORIENTATION 2 pm
- **08**: CORE I FIRST MEETING: PRESENTATION
- **09**: VISIT: NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM | NYC AQUARIUM

**TALK: FLUID DYNAMICS, STATICS 3-30 pm**

**PIN UP: MOTIVE RESEARCH 4-6 pm**

**GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: VINCENT DE RIJK**

### WEEK 2: KINETIC

**12 14 16**

- **12**: DESK CRITS
- **13**: GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: CARUSO ST JOHN
- **14**: DESK CRITS
- **15**: DESK CRITS
- **16**: DESK CRITS

**TRANSPARENCY + VOID**

### WEEK 3: HINGE << >> BRIEF 2

**19 21 23 24**

- **19**: REVIEW: UNDER MAIDEN VOYAGE 1-4 pm
- **20**: COCKTAIL RECEPTION 4-6 pm
- **21**: GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: DESIGN, BITCHES
- **22**: BRIEF 2: ABOVE
- **23**: TALK: STEVEN HOLL
- **24**: VISIT: QUEENS HUNTERS POINT LIBRARY

**IMAGINARY FUTURES 1-4pm**

**LANGUAGE SPRINT: HINGE * **

**S 24**: INJECTION: CORE I ON TOUR

**UPSTATE NEW YORK**

### WEEK 4: PARTI << >> GRIDS

**26 28 30**

- **26**: DESK CRITS [PROTO-CORNER, RESEARCH]
- **27**: GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: NIETO SOBEJANO
- **28**: ANALYSIS GRID RESEARCH 3-6 pm
- **29**: INJECTION: MODEL-A-THON
- **30**: VARIOUS CRITICS *

**DESK CRITS**

**LANGUAGE SPRINT: PARTI ***

**DESK CRITS**

### WEEK 5: FIGURE GROUND << >> REVIEW

**03 06 07**

- **03**: LANGUAGE SPRINT: FIGURE GROUND *
- **04**: DESK CRITS
- **05**: GSAPP LECTURE: TBA
- **06**: REVIEW: ABOVE
- **07**: REVIEW: ABOVE

### WEEK 6: MODULE << >> BRIEF 3

**10 12 14**

- **10**: BRIEF 3: IN
- **11**: GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: WALTER HOOD DESIGN
- **12**: INJECTION: HERVÉ DESCOTTES COLOR/LIGHT/TIME
- **13**: W 12: PIN UP: SITE RESEARCH
- **14**: F 14: LANGUAGE SPRINT: MODULE *

**DESK CRITS**

### WEEK 7: PROPORTION

**17 19 21**

- **17**: TALK: TRANSIT, TRANSIENT, TRANSITION 1 pm
- **18**: DESK CRITS
- **19**: LANGUAGE SPRINT: PROPORTION *
- **20**: F 21: DESK CRITS

### WEEK 8: MIDTERMS + BRIEF 4

**24 26 28**

- **24**: PIN UP: OPEN HOUSE 2-6 pm
- **25**: DESK CRITS
- **26**: GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: EMILIO AMBASZ
- **27**: F 28: DESK CRITS
- **28**: INJECTION: UNORTHODOXITY

**MISHA KAHN**

### WEEK 9: REVIEW

**31 02 04**

- **31**: REVIEW: IN
- **02**: F 04: REVIEW: IN

### WEEK 10: TYPOLOGY << >> COMPOSITION

**07 09 11**

- **07**: BRIEF 4: ON
- **08**: LANGUAGE SPRINT: TYPOLOGY *
- **09**: FRAMPTON LECTURE
- **10**: W 09: PIN UP: SITE RESEARCH
- **11**: F 11: LANGUAGE SPRINT: COMPOSITION *

**REVIEW: ABOVE**

**REVIEW: ABOVE**

**REVIEW: ABOVE**

---

**Note:**

- **COLOR/LIGHT/TIME**
- **UNORTHODOXY**
- **INJECTION**
- **ROVING CRITICS**

**CORE I: SCHEDULE**

**FALL 2016**

**UPDATED 9.7.16**

**UNDER IN / ON**

**INJECTION SERIES / WORKSHOPS**

**AND TOURS**

**AND GUEST LECTURES**

**INJECTION SERIES**

**AND COMPETITIONS**

**AND TOURS**

**IN / ON**

**REVIEW: ABOVE**

**REVIEW: ABOVE**

**REVIEW: ABOVE**

---

**EVENT:**

- **JOINT STUDIO PINUPS / REVIEWS**
- **STUDIO MINI LECTURE SERIES**
- **AND GUEST LECTURES**
- **INJECTION SERIES / WORKSHOPS EXHIBITIONS / COMPETITIONS AND TOURS**
- **AND GUEST LECTURES**
- **INJECTION SERIES**
- **AND COMPETITIONS**

**GENERAL INFORMATION:**

- **SEPIA EVENT:**
- **SEE ONLINE CALENDAR FOR LOCATION**
- **LANGUAGE SPRINT:**
  - **OCURRENCE AT 1:45PM IN AVERY 500 N**
- **HOLIDAY**
- **LENSE**
- **LOG BOOK DUE**
- **SEE MODEL-A-THON DOC**
WEEK 11: WHY/HOW <<>> REVIEW

14 16 18
URBAN SURFACE, ARTIFICIAL + NATURAL
M 14
INJECTION: WHY/HOW
JESSE SEGERS
DESK CRITS
GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: FULONG WU

W 16
3/4 REVIEW: ON
F 18
3/4 REVIEW: ON

WEEK 12: TOPOGRAPHY << >> THANKSGIVING

21 23 25
FORM + STRUCTURE
M 21
TALK: TBA
DESK CRITS
LANGUAGE SPRINT: PARAMETRICISM
GSAPP LECTURE SERIES: ANUPAMA KUNDOO

W 23
LANGUAGE SPRINT: TOPOGRAPHY *
DESK CRITS
TH 24
THANKSGIVING
F 25
THANKSGIVING

WEEK 13: REPRESENTATION << >> MORPH

28 30 02
REPRESENTATION
M 28
LANGUAGE SPRINT: MORPHOLOGY *
DESK CRITS
W 30
LANGUAGE SPRINT: REPRESENTATION *
DESK CRITS
F 02
LAST DAY OF CLASSES

WEEK 14: LIMIT << >> FINAL REVIEWS

05 06 09
LINE / SURFACE / VOLUME
M 05
FINAL REVIEW: ON
F 09
EXHIBITION: 8 PROJECTS 8 BILLBOARDS

05-14.DEC
FINALS

WEEK 15: HAND OFF

12 14 16
M 12
HAND-OFF: CORE I LOG BOOK LAUNCH / INDIvidual [EX]IT REVIEWS
W 14
STUDENT DOCUMENTATION HAND-IN
F 16
ASSIGNMENT:

Sep 7 - Sep 19

architecture [and] environment

Architecture may be shaped in association with virtual motion and force. We instrumentalize transparency and void to merge with the environment, kinetically operating on the site of condensed microrurbanism.

MERGE / SUBMERGE

You are asked to create a device which can swim across a pool of water, or one which can float, sink and resurface.

Questioning the statics of architecture, your device will need to move in relation to the horizontal plane. Maneuvering the relationship with surface, or water, is key, as is the directional vector of motion your device sustains in an aquatic environment. The watertight shell of kinetic mechanisms, or locales of locomotive power; the pulling, paddling, pushing, or skimming of the water; its weight versus density; buoyancy; the duration of the journey; and the dance of the device, will all be attended to. The device acts as a membrane, structuring the relationship between transparency and void to engage the fluid environment.

This initial project is about risk: stretching yourself as dynamic makers and testing prototypes. Focusing on elements of change, flux and mobility, concepts which not only challenge the fixity of architecture with a dynamic ground plane, and the notion of performative architecture, but also access ideas about migration patterns, permanence and property lines, considerations of material properties, and the notion of place and site as not singular but absorptive and all around us. The site is fluid in every sense. The goal is fixed: sink or swim, both are options and operations.

Fluid Dynamics

In physics, fluid dynamics is a sub-discipline of fluid mechanics that deals with fluid flow—the natural science of fluids (liquids and gases) in motion. It has several sub-disciplines itself, including aerodynamics (the study of air and other gases in motion) and hydrodynamics (the study of liquids in motion). Fluid dynamics has a wide range of applications, including calculating forces and moments on aircraft, determining the mass flow rate of petroleum through pipelines, predicting weather patterns, understanding nebulæ in interstellar space and modeling fission weapon detonation. Some of its principles are even used in traffic engineering, where traffic is treated as a continuous fluid, and in crowd dynamics.

Fluid dynamics offers a systematic structure—which underlies these practical disciplines—that embraces empirical and semi-empirical laws derived from flow measurement and used to solve practical problems. The solution to a fluid dynamics problem typically involves calculating various properties of the fluid, such as flow velocity, pressure, density, and temperature, as functions of space and time.¹

Objective:

> Create a device which can swim across a pool of water, or one which can float, sink and resurface.

ASSIGNMENT:

1

CONSTRAINTS

- SIZE
  The device must fit within a 15 x 15 x 15 in volume, no exceptions.

- TIME
  60 seconds
  To achieve the task (sinking and resurfacing OR swimming across an 8 ft channel).

- MOVEMENT
  Fluidity, Kinetics
  The device must perform an operative motion in relation to the horizontal plane: propel, drift, slide, pivot, float, release, compress, sail, sink, ... dance across the surface.
  *The device may be launched in some way, but contact after launch may not be sustained.

- SPACE
  The device must be an autonomous, authentic construction, manufactured by you, and must NOT rely on preexisting devices.

REQUIREMENTS

- Select a marine animal and analyze its mode of motion

  Representation of the above kinetic analysis through a system drawing 24 x 24 in

  Create a kinetic, physical device that responds to your motive research 15 x 15 x 15 in model crafted / hybridized / curated by you

  At least 5 amendments (iterations) to the kinetic, physical device responding to the criteria created above

  Documentation of design evolution and movement (as video, photograph, GIF, drawings, other)

  Core I Log Book *

READINGS


**NOTE

*See Navigator for Core I Log Book Layout

**See Navigator for additional Research/Readings/References